IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

CENTRAL DIVISION

Transcript of Bench Trial

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLARK WADDOUPS

July 31, 2014

Karen Murakami, CSR, RPR 8.430 U.S. Courthouse 351 South West Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84101 Telephone: 801-328-4800

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Plaintiff: JESSE C. TRENTADUE

Attorney at Law

Suite 200

8 East Broadway

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

For the Defendants: KATHRYN L. WYER

Assistant U.S. Attorney

USDOJ

Civil Division-Federal Programs

Branch Room 7124

20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, D.C. 20530

ADAM C. SIPLE

Assistant U.S. Attorney

USDOJ

Civil Division-Federal Programs

Branch Room 6109

20 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, D.C. 20530

\underline{I} \underline{N} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{X}

WITNESS	EXAMINATION	PAGE
Charles Hanger	Direct By	588
	Mr. Siple Cross By	602
	Mr. Trentadue Redirect By Mr. Siple	607
Richard Williams	Direct By Mr. Siple	610
	Cross By Mr. Trentadue	624
Stephen Brannan	Direct By Mr. Siple	628
	Cross By	645
	Mr. Trentadue Redirect By	650
	Mr. Siple Recross By	651
	Mr. Trentadue Further Redirect By	655
	Mr. Siple Further Recross By Mr. Trentadue	658
Walter Lamar	Direct By	660
	Mr. Siple Cross By	677
	Mr. Trentadue Redirect By Mr. Siple	685
Jon Hersley	Direct By	693
	Mr. Siple Cross By	710
	Mr. Trentadue Redirect By Mr. Siple	723
Larry Tongate	Direct By	729
	Mr. Siple Cross By Mr. Trentadue	736

EXHIBITS RECEIVED INTO EVIDENCE

EXHIBIT	PAGE
Defendant's	251
Plaintiff's	38
Defendant's	252

Salt Lake City, Utah, Thursday, July 31, 2014

proceed.

THE COURT: We're back in session in

Trentadue v. The FBI. Counsel and parties are present.

The FBI is proceeding with its rebuttal case. You may

MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. Before I do, I just want to raise a preliminary matter. As you recall, the court asked us to look into an allegation with respect to Mr. Trentadue's witness, John Matthews. And based on what little information we had available at the time, we contacted the FBI's Division Office in Las Vegas, which is the relevant office for -- would be the relevant officer for the Reno, Nevada area where we understand Mr. Matthews was scheduled to testify. We contacted the Associate Chief Counsel there, communicated to them the court's instructions and what we knew of the allegation. The Associate Chief Counsel has been looking into it, and we got information back from their office that there had been no contact with Mr. John Matthews.

However, I also approached Mr. Trentadue and asked him if he could provide us any additional information that might help us track this down. This morning he did provide us with additional information

concerning he believed that the field office here in 1 2 Salt Lake that was potentially involved. We have 3 initiated contact with them. He also provided us with Mr. Matthews' information so that we could have that 4 5 information as well. So we are -- we just got this information, but we're acting on it and continuing to 6 7 look into it. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Trentadue, anything in response to that? 10 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. That's accurate. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. The FBI may proceed with its first witness. 12 13 MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, the FBI would like to call Sheriff Charley Hanger. 14 15 THE CLERK: Sir, can you see and hear me? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I can hear you. 17 THE CLERK: Can you see me? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 CHARLES HANGER, 2.0 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, 21 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, before we 24 proceed, I would just like to lodge an objection at the 25 beginning. I don't think -- to the extent this

testimony goes to the existence or nonexistence of 1 2 portions of the actual videotapes, I think it's 3 irrelevant. I think it also poses a serious due process 4 problem for me in the sense I have no discovery, no --5 nothing to refute Mr. Hanger's testimony. Thank you, 6 sir. THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 7 You can argue the significance of this later. 8 You may proceed. 10 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. SIPLE: 11 MR. TRENTADUE: First of all, clarification, 12 Your Honor, the government insisted that my witnesses by 13 videoconference testify at a certified court reporter's 14 office. Where is Mr. Hanger testifying from? MR. SIPLE: I don't recall that we insisted 15 on a certified court reporter's office. We did object 16 17 to the remote testimony, but we understand the court 18 overruled that and allowed and actually issued an order 19 saying the witnesses may testify remotely. 2.0 THE COURT: I think if you would just 21 establish where the witness is and who is present during 22 the testimony. 23 MR. SIPLE: I'll do that, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 2.4 25 //

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SIPLE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

16

17

21

22

- Q. Sheriff Hanger, where are you testifying from today?
- A. From the U.S. Attorney's Office in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.
 - Q. Okay, and is there anyone with you in that room?
 - A. No, just myself.

THE COURT: Mr. Siple, we failed to ask the witness to identify himself by name and have him spell his name.

12 MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Sheriff Hanger, could you please identify yourself and spell your first and last name for the record.
 - A. My name is Charles Hanger; first name C-h-a-r-l-e-s; Hanger is spelled H-a-n-g-e-r.
- Q. And just to clarify, are you alone in the room that you're testifying from?
- 20 A. Yes, I'm alone in this room.
 - Q. Do you have any documents with you in the room today?
- A. Yes. I have two pages from my transcript from my
 testimony in the McVeigh trial that was conducted in
 Denver, Colorado, April 28, 1997, and I have a copy of

an exhibit that was e-mailed to me from this trial, I assume, Exhibit Number 68.

MR. SIPLE: I would like to let the record reflect that's the transcript that is marked here as defendant's exhibit -- just for identification at this point, Defendants Exhibit 250, and I'll provide to the court copies and Mr. Trentadue.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Sheriff Hanger, where do you currently reside?
- 10 A. Perry, Oklahoma.
- 11 Q. Are you currently employed?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

2.0

- 13 Q. How are you currently employed?
- 14 A. I'm the Sheriff of Noble County, Oklahoma.
- Q. Prior to becoming the Sheriff of Nobel County,

 Oklahoma, were you employed elsewhere?
- 17 A. I was employed by the Oklahoma Highway Patrol.
- Q. And how long were you with the Oklahoma Highway
 19 Patrol?
 - A. A little over 28 and-a-half years.
- Q. Okay. And so when -- do you remember the year that you started?
- 23 A. I started in 1976.
- Q. Okay. When did you -- did you retire from the Oklahoma Highway Patrol?

- A. Yes, I retired on January 3rd, 2005.
- Q. Thank you. Could you tell the court what did your responsibilities with the Oklahoma Highway Patrol consist of?
- A. I was a road trooper, assigned to Nobel County.

 I worked Interstate 35 in Nobel and Payne Counties, and the secondary roads in Nobel County enforcing all the laws of the State of Oklahoma, investigating traffic collisions, and assisting the motoring public.
- Q. I would like to call your attention to the morning of April 19, 1995, the morning of the Oklahoma City bombing, were you on duty that morning, do you recall?
 - A. Yes, I was. I worked the day shift that day.
 - Q. When did your day shift start?
- 16 A. At 7:00 a.m.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. And what specifically were you doing that day as part of your shift?
- A. That particular day I started my traffic patrol going east of Perry, which is the county seat of Nobel County. I was working radar traveling east early that morning.
 - Q. Did you make any stops that morning?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 Q. How many stops did you make?

- A. I made three stops that morning.
 - Q. And did any of these stops lead to an arrest?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Do you recall this arrest?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Could you explain -- please describe the arrest you made on the morning of April 19, 1995.
- A. Shortly before 10:20 that morning I was traveling northbound on Interstate 35 and I was in the left lane.

 Within about a mile from where I was getting ready to exit off, as I passed by an old yellow Mercury I observed there was no tag on the rear of that vehicle, so I affected a traffic stop and stopped that vehicle.
 - Q. What did you do next?
 - A. Upon coming in contact with the driver, explaining to him why he had been stopped, and while he was retrieving his driver's license from his right rear pocket, I noticed a bulge under a windbreaker-type jacket he was wearing that I thought was a weapon, so I instructed him to slowly use both hands, unzip that jacket, and slowly pull it back.

And as he started to pull it back, he looked at me and said I have a weapon.

I then grabbed the bulge on the outside of his jacket, spun him around, and told him to get his

hands up. I drew my weapon, then asked him to walk to the trunk of his car where I took him into custody.

- Q. When you say "took him into custody," would you please describe what you did to put him into custody?
- A. When we got to the trunk of the car, I pulled his jacket back, I saw a pistol in what I call a suicide holster, where the barrel is pointing up to the armpit. I removed that pistol from that holster, threw it to the shoulder of the roadway.

This individual, Tim McVeigh, at that time said, I also have another magazine for that weapon in my jacket.

I pulled it back, found the magazine, threw that to the shoulder of the roadway.

He then stated I also have a knife under my jacket, in which I pulled back and located the knife and threw it to the shoulder of the roadway. I then handcuffed him, patted him down again to see if he had any other weapons. He did not. And he was placed in the front seat of my patrol car and seat-belted in.

- Q. During your -- in answer to that question you identified the suspect as Tim McVeigh. Is that Tim McVeigh who was ultimately convicted of the Oklahoma City bombing?
- A. Yes, that is the same person.

- Q. And you mentioned the weapons. There were knives and a gun. Were there any other items?
- A. There was an extra magazine for the weapon, the weapon itself, and the knife.
 - Q. Did you take custody of these items?
 - A. Yes, I did.

2.0

- Q. Sheriff Hanger, was there any recording equipment on your car, a video recorder that could capture the scene of the stop?
 - A. There was a dash-cam installed in my unit.
- Q. And did you operate that dash-cam during your stop of Mr. McVeigh?
 - A. At one point I did, yes, I turned it on. After he was in custody and sitting in the front seat of my car, I was preparing to read him his Miranda warning, I wanted to capture that on the audio, and so that's when I turned the videocamera on.
 - Q. I have to ask you, Sheriff Hanger, why didn't you turn the camera on when you initiated the stop?
 - A. I had only had the camera a couple of months, maybe being old school I wasn't sure that I even liked having that camera in the car. I thought it was a good thing for DUI arrests, things where you need to capture erratic driving movements and the instability of a drunk driver prior to his arrest so you could document his

level of intoxication. But for a simple traffic stop I didn't feel like it had any evidentiary purpose.

- Q. Did the -- so if I'm understanding your testimony, Tim McVeigh was already in the car when you initiated the recording, is that correct, in your patrol car?
- A. That is correct, yes. He was sitting in the front seat of my patrol car next to me.
 - Q. Did the video -- actually let me stop there.

Did you have any sounds you captured of Tim McVeigh, any conversation, on that tape?

- A. Even though that's what I was attempting to do, I hit the wrong two buttons and I did not get any sound.

 I did get video from the camera pointing forward capturing the car itself and at one point me walking about the car recording information from the vehicle identification number and searching the car, but, no, there was no sound whatsoever.
- Q. And of the video that you did record, you mentioned that you're in the video, is that right, at certain points?
 - A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Are there any other individuals captured in that video during the stop and arrest that you recorded?
 - A. No, other than the traffic moving northbound on

the interstate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

- Q. Do you recall -- I just want to make this clear, did you have an opportunity to review the tape that you recorded with your dashboard camera?
- A. Yes, I reviewed it. I don't recall if it was the 21st or the 22nd of April 1995 the first time I reviewed it. And I had been provided a copy of that sometime back several years ago, I couldn't tell you exactly when, and I have reviewed that copy as well.
- Q. Did the tape that you recorded capture a pickup truck of any kind in the vicinity of this stop?
- A. No.
- Q. There was no pickup truck pulled over to the side of the road or the shoulder or anything like that?
 - A. There was nothing on the video -- the video showing any pickup truck, nor do I recall seeing one.
 - Q. Sheriff Hanger, did you testify in the prosecution trial of Tim McVeigh?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Do you recall whether or not -- have you had an opportunity to review the testimony that you provided in that trial?
- 23 A. Part of it, yes.
- Q. And how was that?
- 25 A. By reviewing the copies of the transcript that I

have in my possession.

2.0

- Q. Do you have a copy of -- to be clear, you have a copy of the -- your own copy of the transcript that you gave of the testimony you gave in the McVeigh trial?
 - A. I do have my own copy, yes.
- Q. And if I could have you look -- and did you bring copies of that transcript with you today?
- A. I brought two pages, page 33 and 34, from that transcript.
- Q. Why did you bring those pages?
- 11 A. Those are the ones regarding my testimony of the video.
 - Q. So you testified about the video that you recorded in the McVeigh trial; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And I just want to be clear because I don't specifically remember, was the McVeigh trial a public proceeding, was it open to the public, that prosecution trial?
 - A. I believe it was, yes.
 - Q. If I could call your attention to the first page here on the screen, and this is at this point marked as Defendant's Exhibit 250. And just to make sure we're talking about the same thing, it starts -- it's got a 5255 in the top left corner?

- A. Yes, I have that copy here before me.
- Q. And there's a number 33 on the bottom of the page?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And if we could just go down, I would like you to read from this transcript starting at line 17.
- A. Question, Prior to the interview did you take any steps to try to record the conversation you were having with Defendant McVeigh?

10 Answer, Yes, I did.

Question, Were you able to record?

Answer, No.

Question, Why not?

Answer, I hit the wrong two buttons on my videocamera.

Question, In your unit?

Answer, Yes.

Q. Thank you, Sheriff Hanger.

Now, if we could turn to the second page of the transcript that you brought with you, and if we could go down to line 15, and if I could have you read from lines 15 to 21 -- I'm sorry 15 to 25.

A. Question, And the video is the camera that records what?

Answer, It records anything in front of the

camera itself, which mounts on the dash and is pointed 1 2 forward to the front of the unit, or anything in front of the camera it will record in a video picture. 3 Question, And, accordingly, did this video 4 5 picture record anything relating to Defendant McVeigh's 6 person? 7 Answer, No. Question, What did it record? 8 9 Answer, It recorded the unit, the car he was driving, and myself as I was walking about. 10 11 Thank you, Sheriff Hanger. You're welcome. 12 Α. 13 MR. SIPLE: At this time, Your Honor, I would move to admit what's marked Defendant's 14 Exhibit 250 into evidence. 15 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, it's cumulative, 16 17 Your Honor. The witness has already testified to this. 18 THE COURT: Is there a reason to have any of 19 the additional information from the transcript in the 20 record beyond what he's read? 21 MR. SIPLE: It's withdrawn, Your Honor. Ι 22 think it stands for itself actually. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. MR. SIPLE: I would like to -- if we could 24 25 call up Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 68.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) And are you able to see this exhibit on the screen in front of you, Sheriff Hanger?
- A. Yes, I can see it, and I have it before me as well.
- Q. So just to be clear, the exhibit that you're seeing on the screen is the document that you have in front of you?
 - A. Yes, it's one in the same.
- Q. Okay. If you could just take a moment and review this and just let me know when you've had enough opportunity to read it.
- 12 A. I've reviewed it.

- Q. And, Sheriff Hanger, can I ask you, having reviewed this, do you recall giving an interview to the FBI regarding your stop?
 - A. I remember talking to an agent, I couldn't have told you his name.
- Q. Does this FBI document in any way give you any reason to second-guess the testimony that you've given regarding the recording in your car?
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. And if I could just ask you on this, with respect to the object on the ground, if you could comment on that, it's the last line there, where it's reporting of an object on the ground.

I would have to assume that the objects seen on 1 Α. 2 the ground was the items I took off McVeigh's person, 3 the weapon, the Glock .45 caliber pistol, the knife, and the magazine as well, all three of those items were 4 5 thrown to the ground after I found them. 6 Q. Did you retrieve them from the ground? 7 Α. I did at one point, yes. Okay. Did you take them into your possession? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes, I did. And did you -- were they turned into evidence? 10 Q. 11 Α. Yes, they were. 12 MR. SIPLE: Okay. Thank you, Sheriff 13 Hanger. 14 And we can take down the exhibit. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 15 16 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 17 MR. SIPLE: Actually, I have a few more 18 questions. 19 THE COURT: Go right ahead. 20 Q. (By Mr. Siple) Sheriff Hanger, if I could ask 21 you, so you recorded the tape. What did you do with the 22 tape from your dashboard cam that was recorded, that 23 recorded your stop of McVeigh? A. I turned it over to a federal agent. 2.4 25 Q. How did you do that?

- A. I delivered it in person, I took it from where I had it at my home to Oklahoma City.
 - Q. Where specifically in Oklahoma City?
 - A. It was at the command post.
 - O. And --

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

- A. I don't recall the address.
- Q. Was this the command post for the Oklahoma City bombing investigation?
 - A. Yes. It was just days after the bombing.
- Q. Can I ask you, Sheriff Hanger, have you had an opportunity since this arrest and recording this video to view the video on other occasions?
- A. I had reviewed part of the video upon receiving a copy. I reviewed the actual video just days after the arrest of McVeigh, it would either have been the Friday or Saturday, which would have been the 21st or 22nd of April 1995.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity to look at the video any time more recently?
- A. Yes, after I received a copy I had watched that video. And I also seen it on television.
- MR. SIPLE: If I can ask if we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, which is identified as the Hanger video, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.
- 25 Sheriff Hanger, I would ask you to watch

this video we're about to play. We're just going to 1 2 play portions of it, a certain amount of it. I would 3 just like to get your comments after. If we could play the video. 4 5 (Video being played.) 6 MR. SIPLE: You can stop at about two 7 minutes. We can go ahead and stop and pause the video at this point. 8 Q. (By Mr. Siple) Did you have an opportunity to 10 look at the video just now, Sheriff Hanger? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. Does that appear to be the video that you took 1.3 from your patrol car dash-cam? 14 A. Yes, it is. MR. SIPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 16 further questions. 17 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 18 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir, just very brief I 19 think. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. TRENTADUE: 22 Q. Good morning Sheriff Hanger. Can you hear me 23 okay? Good morning. A little low. 24 Α. 25 Q. Sir, were you saying something?

- A. I said the volume was a little low.
- Q. I'll try to speak up.
 - A. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. When were you first contacted about testifying here today?
- A. Oh, it was probably within the last two weeks. I don't know the exact date.
- Q. So it was at least two weeks ago?
 - A. I'm estimating within the last two weeks.
- 10 Q. Certainly not during this week.
- 11 A. The first time was not this week, no.
- Q. Were you told that you were going to testify at the trial?
- 14 A. Sir, your volume is getting low again.
- Q. When you were first contacted were you told that you were going to testify at trial?
- A. I was told there was a possibility I might testify.
- 19 Q. Who contacted you?
- A. I was originally contacted by a retired
 gentleman, he worked for the FBI, the last name Maybry,
 and he asked me to call Mr. Siple.
- Q. And have you met with anyone to prepare to testify here today?
- 25 A. I have not met with anyone.

- Q. Have you talked over the phone with anyone about your testimony here today?
 - A. Yes, I have.

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Who did you speak with?
- A. Yes, sir. I spoke to Mr. Adam Siple.
- Q. When was that, sir?
- A. I've talked to him on a couple of occasions, most recently last night.
 - Q. What was the first occasion that you recall?
- A. He was asking me if I recalled the arrest and if
 I had copies of my transcript. They were very brief
 questions.
- Q. When did Mr. Siple first contact you?
- A. Shortly after I talked to Mr. Maybry, within the last couple of weeks.
- Q. Do you know whether there's an intercom system in the room?
 - A. Can you speak up, please?
- Q. Do you know whether or not there's an intercom system in the room from which you're testifying?
- 21 A. I have no idea. First time I've ever been here.
- Q. Did you at some time, sir, get back the original videotape of the McVeigh stop?
- A. I did not.
- 25 Q. If I could, sir, I would like to show you --

first of all, you have Exhibit 68 in front of you, don't you, sir?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

- Q. When you read down there, the second complete sentence in the last paragraph, it says, When asked about the possibility of a pickup truck traveling in tandem with the McVeigh vehicle, Hanger responded he had no recollection of any such vehicle. Do you remember being asked about that, sir?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Did they tell you from where that information came?
- 13 A. No, they did not.
- Q. Did they seem particularly interested in a brown pickup truck?
 - A. The best of my recollection, there was mention of a brown pickup truck.
- Q. Did they tell you that that person was a suspect in the bombing along with McVeigh?
- 20 A. No, they did not.
- 21 Q. If --
- A. I was -- the morning of the arrest I was sitting
 by my headquarters while I was at the county jail
 finishing the arrest papers, I was sent out to the
 interstate to look for a brown pickup that was possibly

involved in the bombing.

- Q. Now, I'm going to show you Exhibit 10.39, if I can get it to come up here, it's Exhibit 10, page 39.

 Can you see that exhibit, Sheriff Hanger?
 - A. I can see it, but I can't read it.
- Q. Maybe if I can blow up the parts I want to talk to you about. Do you recall a Houston Chronicle article coming out shortly in 1995 about your arrest of McVeigh in which they referenced a suspect by the name of Colbern?
- A. I don't recall any such article.
- Q. It says, An automatic camera in the car of
 Trooper Charles Hanger was taping the arrest of McVeigh.

 In the background was an image of a pickup truck that
 was pulled over while McVeigh was being questioned. You
 don't recall ever seeing that article.
- 17 A. I do not.
 - Q. Now, when was the first time you actually looked at the arrest tape, the dashboard camera tape?
- A. Like I testified earlier, I believe it was either
 Friday, the 21st, or the -- Saturday the 22nd of
 April 1995.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you, sir. No further questions.
- THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. SIPLE: Sheriff Hanger, were you still employed with the 4 0. 5 Oklahoma Highway Patrol in 2006? 6 Α. I was not. 7 Q. And is it your understanding that the tape when it would be returned, would it be returned to you, or 8 would it go back to the Oklahoma Highway Patrol? 10 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation. 11 THE WITNESS: I would assume that it went 12 back to the Oklahoma Highway Patrol. 1.3 (By Mr. Siple) Sheriff Hanger, did you ever give an interview or any information to the Houston Chronicle 14 about your tape or about your arrest? 15 A. Not that I recall. 16 17 MR. SIPLE: I have no further questions, 18 Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Any followup? MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. 2.0 21 THE COURT: Thank you, Sheriff Hanger. Wе 22 appreciate your testimony. You are excused. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I move to strike 25 this witness's testimony. The court will recall that

the government identified three potential rebuttal witnesses. They had spoken with this witness long before that time, had him in the wings ready to spring him on me in this fashion. MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, we only identified case-in-chief witnesses. I don't believe we ever proffered the identification of rebuttal witnesses. Rebuttal depends on how the case develops. MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, they filed a notice of intent to call rebuttal witnesses. MR. SIPLE: We had offered the OKBOMB agents as a proffer of case-in-chief and that was denied. They 13 were not offered as rebuttal. We thought we could put them in the case-in-chief and that was denied. THE COURT: I'm going to deny the motion to strike the testimony, and at the appropriate time you can argue the significance or what weight and any surprise you believe occurred because of the late 19 notification. The FBI may call its next witness. MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, the FBI calls 22 Mr. Richard Williams. If there are technical 23 difficulties we could do the other witnesses first. THE COURT: Let's give him a couple more

moments to see if he can get it up.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

24

THE CLERK: Sir, can you hear us? 1 2 THE WITNESS: Barely. 3 THE CLERK: Is there a way that you can move down to the end seat, or can you adjust the camera? 4 Wе 5 can barely see you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I am about three feet 6 7 from the camera now. THE COURT: We need you to take the seat at 8 9 the end of the table, which is the one that's focused on 10 the camera. 11 THE WITNESS: The far end? Okav. 12 THE COURT: Now we can see you well. 1.3 If we can place the witness under oath. RICHARD WILLIAMS, 14 15 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined 16 and testified as follows: 17 18 Will you please say and spell THE CLERK: your full name for the record. 19 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm really having 21 trouble hearing you. THE CLERK: Can you please say and spell 22 23 your full name for the record. THE WITNESS: Richard, middle initial E., 24 25 last name Williams, R-i-c-h-a-r-d, W-i-l-l-i-a-m-s.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, I didn't hear you 1 2 respond to the question when you were placed under oath, 3 did you say, yes, that you agree to be under oath? THE WITNESS: I said I do, Your Honor. 4 Ι 5 said I do, yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIPLE: 8 Q. Good morning Mr. Williams. Can you hear me okay? Good morning. 10 Α. 11 Can you hear me? Q. 12 Α. Barely. Still having trouble. 13 Q. I'll try to speak directly into the microphone. 14 That sounds a little better. Α. First of all, Mr. Williams, where are you 15 Q. testifying from today? 16 17 A. I'm in the U.S. Attorney's Office in Houston, 18 Texas. 19 Q. Do you have any -- is there anyone in the room 20 with you there? 21 A. No, sir. 22 Do you have any papers or notes or anything of 23 that kind with you? A. I do not. 24 25 Q. Mr. Williams, where do you currently reside?

- A. I currently live in Montgomery, Texas.
- Q. How long have you lived in Montgomery, Texas?
- A. Nine years.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Prior to residing in Montgomery, where did you reside?
 - A. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.
 - Q. Are you currently employed?
 - A. I am not. I'm retired.
 - Q. Where did you retire from?
- 10 A. I retired from the General Services
- 11 Administration Public Building Service.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would renew my
- objection to this witness, the same one I made to
- 14 | Sheriff Hanger, relevance and due process.
- THE COURT: Your objection is recognized and
- 16 overruled.
- You may proceed.
- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Would you please explain to the
- 19 court what the General Services Administration is.
- 20 A. The General Services Administration, the branch
- 21 that I worked for, we are the landlords for the
- 22 government, we're responsible for all the federal
- 23 | buildings and courthouses in the United States,
- 24 operations and maintenance, construction and repairs.
- 25 Q. Did you work in -- so you were -- did you work

for the GSA while you resided in Oklahoma City?

- That's correct, I did.
- And, sorry, GSA, is that another way of referring Q. to General Services Administration?
- Α. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

16

- Do you remember -- when did you start working for the General Services Administration?
 - I started in August of 1976. Α.
- Okay. What was your position and Q. responsibilities when you began?
- I was a maintenance mechanic and responsible for operations and maintenance of the Federal Building 13 Courthouse and the old Post Office Building at that time.
- Where were these buildings located? 15 Q.
 - 200 Northwest Fourth and 200 Northwest Third. Α.
- 17 Was this in Oklahoma City? Q.
- 18 Yes, sir. Α.
- 19 Did you ever work in the Murrah Federal Building? Q.
- 20 Α. I did. I started -- as the building was being 21 completed, as I started with GSA in August, we opened the building in April of 1977, and I became the 22 23 principal maintenance mechanic and operator for the 2.4 mechanical HVAC systems for the Murrah Building.
 - Q. Did you move on to any other position while you

were working at the Murrah Building?

2.4

A. I did. I became the maintenance mechanic foreman for the complex, and then I became assistant building manager, and then later district manager.

- Q. Do you remember what position you held in 1995?
- A. I was assistant building manager.
- Q. Could you please describe for the court what is an assistant building manager, what is it that you're -- the responsibilities of that job entail?
- A. That job required being a contracting officer to let contracts for repairs and alterations to the buildings for cleaning, for maintenance, it required working with the agency heads and tenants in terms of their needs, just being a landlord so-to-speak, but we were directly responsible for all the operations for the building.
- Q. When you say "operations," would you clarify that, what does operations entail or encompass in your mind?
- A. It would encompass maintenance, repairs, cleaning, construction, working with contractors on alteration projects for the tenants, and basically taking care of the building and the tenants as a landlord.
 - Q. What were your responsibilities with respect to

working with contracts, could you elaborate on that?

2.0

A. As a contracting officer, it was my duty to put contracts out for bid, award those contracts, and oversee the work.

- Q. In your responsibilities for building operations, were you familiar with security measures for the building?
- A. I was familiar with it as we worked directly with the Federal Protective Service in securing the buildings and being responsible not for it, but with them. We worked directly with the Federal Protective Service.
- Q. When you say worked directly with them, could you describe what you mean when you say you worked directly with the Federal Protective Services?
- A. They were also housed in the complex, as GSA. We were partners in working with the tenants. Any concerns that they may have and assisting Federal Protective Service in any way that we could in the way of alterations or upgrades or contracting.
- Q. Would you meet with Federal Protective Services on security issues concerning the building?
- A. We met with FPS and the tenants on a regular basis to discuss tenants' concerns and any other issues that might be brought about by FPS, or by us to them for that matter.

Are you familiar with Mr. Tom Hunt? 1 Q. 2 Α. I am. 3 How do you know Mr. Tom Hunt? Q. 4 Α. I knew Mr. Hunt as the FPS representative from 5 our regional office to Oklahoma City who worked in our 6 complex. 7 Q. And is Mr. Tom Hunt a person that you met with when on the job conducting responsibilities as building 8 manager? 10 That's correct, regularly. I want to draw your attention to April 19, 1995, 11 Ο. 12 do you remember where you were that day? 13 Α. Yes, sir. I had just come out of a meeting from my manager's office, whose office was behind my mine. 14 Our office was on the first floor, west end of the 15 16 building. I was standing in my office with my planner 17 estimator discussing the results of the meeting that we 18 had just had with the Clerk of the Court from the 19 District Court. 2.0 Q. And what happened next? 21 Α. That's the last thing I remember. 22 Why is that the last --Q. 23 Α. I didn't hear anything, I didn't see anything. 2.4 I'm sorry? 25 Why is that the last thing you remember? Q.

- A. Because of the blast. I was knocked out, buried,
 I just didn't hear anything or feel anything until days
 later.
- Q. Do you remember -- when did you regain consciousness?
- A. Probably late Wednesday, April the 19th, that afternoon as I came out of surgery.
- Q. Mr. Williams, I want to ask you about -- you mentioned you were familiar with the building because of your position; is that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you're familiar with the building security
 measures as well?
 - A. Through working with the FPS, that's correct.
 - Q. Were you familiar with the cameras that were on the Murrah Building, the camera system?
- 17 A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. How are you familiar with the camera system on the Murrah Building?
- A. There was a control center in the Federal
 Building Courthouse basement, which was in the same room
 where my building automation system was that I
 controlled the operations of the HVAC for the Murrah
 Building, and that control center along, with the camera
 placement, was done I think in the early '80s sometime,

so we worked with the installers in helping them assisting them in getting those cameras installed. But I was in that room for many, many years on a daily basis operating my system, but being in that room with those cameras also in that control center.

- Q. Can you describe that control center a little more, what did it consist of?
- A. The control center was in the basement of the courthouse and it was in the Federal Protective Service joint office where my system was and it was a stand with a series of monitors up on a console, and there was a security officer back then that would sit and watch those monitors. That included cameras from the Courthouse and the U.S. Post Office Courthouse also along with the Murrah Building cameras.
- Q. You said the monitors. Did these cameras record film, did they capture film?
- A. No, sir.

2.4

- 19 Q. How do you know that?
- A. No, sir. They were simply just instantaneous monitors to be watched.
- Q. Do you know if these cameras were operational on April 19, 1995?
 - A. They were not.
- 25 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation, Your

Honor. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. The answer is 2 3 stricken. You need to lay additional foundation. MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. 4 (By Mr. Siple) Mr. Williams, how often would you 5 Q. spend time in this area where the monitors were for the 6 7 cameras on the Murrah Building? A. On a daily basis when I was operating the 8 building, and also when we moved our offices over to the 10 building in '89 that was right there where our offices were located on the first floor. 11 12 Q. Were you ever involved in any meetings or 13 discussions concerning the cameras prior to April 19th, 14 1995? There was some discussion when the Federal 15 Protective Service basically phased out the control 16 center as the --17 18 MR. SIPLE: Stop your answer. 19 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation, and 20 objection, hearsay, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: So far we don't have any content 22 of the hearsay, so the objection is overruled on that 23 basis. 24 MR. SIPLE: You may continue answering, 25 Mr. Williams.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 2 THE COURT: Rephrase the question for him. 3 THE WITNESS: Please, yes, sir. Thank you. (By Mr. Siple) Do you have any personal knowledge 4 Q. 5 concerning budget issues that the Murrah Building and 6 your complex was facing that might have impacted on the 7 cameras in the building? A. It would really be the Federal Protective Service 8 budget through GSA. Again, as the court expanded and 10 became secured through the U.S. Marshal Service and hired their own security contractors --11 12 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection. 1.3 THE WITNESS: -- the Federal Protective Service had to cut back --14 15 MR. SIPLE: Stop your answer. 16 THE WITNESS: -- security guards. 17 THE COURT: Was there an objection, 18 Mr. Trentadue? 19 MR. TRENTADUE: Foundation, sir. He's just 20 rambling on about his --21 THE COURT: Let's make sure that we lay 22 actual foundation as to how he knows what he's about to 23 testify to. 24 Q. (By Mr. Siple) Let me step back here, Mr. Williams. If there was going to be work done on the 25

building, in your role as building manager, would you know about it?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, everything that went on in the building went through us or in conjunction with us, so we would be aware of any work that was going on in the building, so it would not interrupt service to our tenants or the courts, or we would not have had people working in the building that should not be there, contractors.
- Q. Was it part of your responsibility to know about systems that are in the building and how -- systems that are in the building and how they are operational?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. Why is that?
 - A. That was our responsibility was to know how to maintain and operate those systems.
 - Q. And did you testify -- and was one of your responsibilities also to weigh in on protection of the building itself, concerns in that regard?
 - A. In conjunction with the Federal Protective Service, yes.
 - Q. How many times -- again, explain where you were located, your control room area in relation to the cameras.

- A. The control room where the cameras were?
- Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That was in the Federal Building Courthouse basement at Northwest Fourth Street.
- Q. How much time did you spend there, just on a weekly basis, how much time would you be in that area?
- A. In the early years when I was operating the building, prior to becoming maintenance mechanic foreman and the assistant buildings manager, it was everyday.
- Q. Were you able to see the monitors for the cameras while you were down there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Were you able to see the images that were being conveyed?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And did there ever come a time that there were no more images and they weren't working from your observations?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you please elaborate on that.
 - A. They were -- yes, sir. They were gradually phased out as the marshals and the court security officers took over the camera and the security of the courthouse, and then, because there was no longer a person at that control center, they phased down the

cameras for the Murrah Building also, and those cameras were just basically shut off.

Q. Do you remember when this occurred?

- A. I believe it was two to three, maybe four years before the bombing.
 - Q. What's the basis for that conclusion?
- A. Remembering the discussions that we had about what Federal Protective Service was going to provide in the way of security, it became from that, along with that, the cameras, to guard service basically. And they made us aware of that.
- Q. Did you ever raise any concerns about phasing out the cameras?
 - A. We did not directly relay them. We related concerns from our tenants to the Federal Protective Service in our safety meetings and security meetings.
 - Q. Just a few additional questions. I want to ask you about your work with contracting. Were you working on any bids or proposals for work on the Murrah Building around 1995, the April 1995 time period?
 - A. Yes, sir. There was a prospectus level project that was ongoing for bids. It was subject to bid on April the 20th for a major upgrade of the Murrah Building, which included mechanical, electrical, structural, cosmetic. That was a project that was

funded out of Washington, D.C. through our regional office, and we had -- we participated in those contract meetings, those pre-bid meetings, and walkthroughs with the contractors as the local building manager.

- Q. And did this bid include any security upgrades or updates to the Murrah Building?
 - A. No, sir. No, sir.

2.0

- Q. How do you know that?
- A. From remembering the specifications and the scope of the work that we had helped work on prior to the bid.
 - Q. Did you actually work on those specifications?
- A. We worked with the architects and engineering firms that were contracted with GSA. They came to us and asked us our needs, our requirements, and things that we felt would be needed for the upgrade of the building. They included us in every phase of it.
- Q. Do you recall if the cameras on the exterior of the building were still there in 1995, the actual cameras themselves?
- A. There were -- yes, there were several cameras that were still attached in the building and in the ceilings and to the exterior.
- Q. Were you involved in any meetings concerning why those cameras were still on the exterior?
 - A. No, sir, not after FPS shut their control center

down. It was basically just discussed that all cameras 1 2 would be left in place as a deterrent but not functional. 3 4 MR. SIPLE: Thank you. No further 5 questions. 6 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 7 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor, a few. CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. TRENTADUE: 10 O. Mr. Williams, Federal Protective Services was 11 responsible for security at the Murrah Building, 12 correct? Can you here me, sir? I'm sorry, Your Honor, I can't hear. 13 Α. I'll ask you a few questions. When were you 14 Ο. 15 first contacted about testifying here today, sir? 16 Two weeks ago, I believe, sir. Α. 17 Who contacted you? Q. 18 The U.S. Attorney's Office, specifically Adam --Α. I apologize, I can't remember his last name. 19 2.0 Q. The counsel that just examined you, asked questions? Can you hear me, sir? 21 22 A. Yes, sir. But I didn't understand your question. 23 I apologize. 2.4 Q. Was it Adam Siple? 25 A. Yes, sir, that's correct.

He told you about two weeks ago that you were 1 Q. 2 going to be testifying? 3 He asked me if I would testify about my knowledge Α. 4 of the building. 5 Okay. Now, Federal Protective Services was 0. 6 responsible for security, correct, sir? 7 That is correct. Α. And that was for the Murrah Building? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 The old Federal Post Office Building? Ο. 11 Α. Correct. 12 The Federal Courthouses? Q. 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 The new Post Office Building? Q. 15 Α. No. 16 Okay. And Mr. Tom Hunt was head of Federal Q. Protective Services? 17 18 He was the responsible person in Oklahoma City Α. representing the regional office, yes. 19 2.0 Q. I believe you testified that for budgetary 21 concerns the surveillance system was shut down about three or four years before the bombing? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Q. And that shut down the surveillance system for 25 the Murrah Building?

- A. The Murrah Building, and the courts took over the Federal Building Courthouse and old Post Office Building. The Federal Protective Service became responsible for the Murrah Building and the Murrah garage and the perimeter.
- Q. So the surveillance system would have been shut down for the old Federal Post Office Building too?
- A. It would have for -- as far as Federal Protective Service monitoring, yes.
- Q. And you say that the monitors were not in operation since four years before the bombing.
 - A. Yes, sir, I believe that's correct.
- Q. But the cameras themselves, had they been in operation, would certainly have shown the arrival of the Ryder Truck that morning, wouldn't it, sir, April 19, 1995, at the Murrah Building?
- MR. SIPLE: Objection, relevance and speculation.
 - Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Well, you looked at the monitors and could see what the cameras on the Murrah Building showed on the street, didn't you, sir?
 - A. Yes.

Q. It would have shown the arrival of the Ryder truck with the bomb on the morning of April 19, 1995, if they had been in operation, wouldn't they, sir?

Sir, I don't know that I can say yes or no to 1 Α. 2 that. 3 Q. Well, it showed the street in front of the Murrah Building, didn't they, sir? 4 It focused on the sidewalk and the street and 5 6 the -- both were focused toward the central point of the 7 entrance of the building, the north entrance. Q. And fair to say that Mr. Tom Hunt would have 8 better knowledge than you about the existing security system, including the camera system and operation on 10 April 19, 1995? 11 12 A. I think that's a fair statement, yes, because he 13 would just include us as being a partner with them with everything that was done. 14 But he would know best. 15 Ο. 16 Yes. Α. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your 18 Honor.

19 THE COURT: Any redirect?

23

24

25

MR. SIPLE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Williams, for your testimony. You are excused.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would renew my objection to strike this witness's testimony because he was being groomed and prepared to testify long before

this trial. 1 2 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 3 Again, you can argue the significance of the circumstances at an appropriate time. 4 5 The FBI may proceed with its next witness. MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. The FBI calls 6 7 Special Agent Retired Steve Brannan. 8 THE COURT: We can close the video link at 9 this point. THE CLERK: Sir, can you hear me? 10 THE COURT: We don't have the audio. 11 12 THE WITNESS: How about now? 1.3 THE COURT: Okay, now it's working. 14 ahead. 15 STEPHEN BRANNAN, 16 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined 17 and testified as follows: 18 19 THE CLERK: Would you please say and spell 20 your full name for the record. 21 THE WITNESS: Stephen, S-t-e-p-h-e-n; middle 22 initial E.; Brannan, B-r-a-n-n-a-n. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIPLE: 24 25 Q. Good morning Mr. Brannan.

1 A. Good morning.

4

7

- Q. Where are you testifying from today, Agent Brannan?
 - A. Birmingham, Alabama.
- Q. Okay. And where specifically, what building are you at?
 - A. I'm at the U.S. Attorney's Office in Birmingham.
 - Q. Okay. Is there anyone in the room with you there today?
- 10 A. No, there's not.
- Q. Do you have any papers or notes or anything like that with you today?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Could you please identify what papers you have with you.
- A. I have six Plaintiff's Exhibits, numbers 55, 56,
- 17 57, 58, 65, and 66, as well as two FBI electronic
- 18 communications from 1995.
- Q. Thank you for identifying the Plaintiff's
 Exhibits. And the electronic communications, how many
 pages are in front of you that you mentioned?
- A. There are two communications, one page each. The first one is dated 10/30/1995, and the second is dated 11/22/1995, both one-page documents.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would again

renew my objection to this witness testifying on the basis of relevance and due process.

THE COURT: The objection is noted and overruled.

MR. SIPLE: I would like the record to reflect that the witness is referring to what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit 251. Your Honor, I will put them up in just a moment, but I'll refer to them during the direct testimony at some point.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Mr. Brannan, Special Agent Brannan, are you currently employed?
- 12 A. No, I'm retired.
 - Q. Where are you retired from?
 - A. I'm retired from the FBI.
- 15 Q. How long did you work for the FBI?
- 16 A. 26 years.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1.3

14

19

- Q. Okay. Could you please let the court know when you started and the actual date you retired.
 - A. I started May the 8th, 1972, and retired May the 30th, 1998.
- Q. Thank you. Agent Brannan, do you recall where you were assigned in the spring of 1995, shortly after the Oklahoma City bombing?
- 24 A. Yes, I was assigned to the Birmingham FBI office.
- 25 Q. Were you in a particular squad or component of

that office?

2.0

- A. Yes. I was on the white-collar crime squad working public corruption matters.
- Q. And could you define what are public corruption matters.
- A. Cases that involve allegations of corruption from public officials, such as police officers, judges, mayors, congressmen, legislators, anybody in public office.
- Q. During that time did you become aware of any allegations, public corruption allegations, with respect to the FBI's investigation of the Oklahoma City bombing?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Before you get into any specifics, let me ask you, how did you become aware of the allegation?
- A. I received information from a confidential informant.
- Q. Could you please describe for the court what was the allegation that you received with respect to the Oklahoma City bombing investigation?
- A. The allegation was that there was a videotape or surveillance tape from outside the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City on the day of the bombing and that one or two FBI agents were trying to sell copies of that tape to people in the media.

O. Okay. And thank you, Special Agent Brannan. 1 2 I would like to now call your attention to 3 some of the Plaintiff's Exhibits, and if we could start with what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 55. 4 5 we could pull up 55. Have you had an opportunity to review this exhibit, Agent Brannan? 6 7 Yes, I have. Α. Do you recognize this exhibit? 8 Q. Α. Yes, I do. 10 How do you recognize it? Q. 11 This is a document that I prepared after Α. 12 receiving the initial information from the confidential informant. 13 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. I would like to move to Plaintiff's 15 16 Exhibit 56. Agent Brannan, have you had an opportunity to review Plaintiff's Exhibit 56? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 56? Q. 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 0. How do you recognize it? 22 Α. This is a communication that I prepared three 23 days after the first communication from the confidential 24 source with additional followup information about the

25

allegations.

Q. Okay. Thank you. 1 2 Can we now pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 57. 3 Agent Brannan, have you had an opportunity to review Plaintiff's Exhibit 57? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Q. Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 57? 7 Yes. Α. 8 Q. How is it that you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 57? 10 A. This is another document that I prepared after 11 receiving additional information from the confidential 12 source. Q. Okay. Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 58. 13 14 Agent Brannan, have you had an opportunity to review Plaintiff's Exhibit 58? 15 16 A. Yes. Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 58? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes. 19 How do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 58? Q. 20 Α. This is another document that I prepared after talking to the confidential source on November 7th. 21 22 Q. Could we please pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 65. 23 Agent Brannan, have you had an opportunity to review Plaintiff's Exhibit 65? 2.4 25 A. Yes.

Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 65? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. 3 How do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 65? Q. This is a document that I prepared after talking 4 Α. 5 to the confidential source on October 31st, 1995. 6 Thank you. Lastly, could we pull up Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit 66. Have you had an opportunity to review 8 Plaintiff's Exhibit 66? Α. Yes. 10 Do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 66? Ο. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. How is it that you recognize that exhibit? 13 Α. This is a document I prepared after speaking to the confidential source on November 6th, 1995. 14 15 Q. Thank you. And the exhibits that you just looked at 55, 56, 57, 58, 65, and 66, Plaintiff's Exhibits, do 16 17 they accurately reflect the allegations as you reported them? 18 19 Yes. Α. 20 Q. We can take the exhibits down at this time. 21 Agent Brannan, do you know if the FBI initiated a 22 public corruption investigation concerning these 23 allegations? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. How do you know that?

- A. Myself and my assistant special agent in charge called FBI Headquarters to the Office of Professional Responsibility and reported this information as I received it.
- Q. What happened then, after that, after you reported it?
- A. An investigation was begun to look into these allegations to see if they were true or not.
 - Q. Did you have any role in that investigation?
- A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And could you please describe for the court what was your role in the investigation.
- A. I contacted the FBI office in Oklahoma City and talked to agents that were working the Oklahoma City bombing case, and I also, along with my assistant special agent in charge, called the Los Angeles FBI office and spoke to them and questioned them about this information.
- Q. What did you determine?
- A. That the information was not truthful, that it was some sort of a hoax.
 - Q. What led you to reach that conclusion, what do you base that on?
- A. A number of factors, but primarily the fact that there was no surveillance video recovered outside the

Murrah Federal Building the day of the bombing --1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation. 3 THE WITNESS: -- that there --MR. SIPLE: There's an objection. 4 The objection is? THE COURT: 5 MR. TRENTADUE: Foundation and hearsay. 6 7 THE COURT: Would you lay some foundation as to how he came to the determination about what he's 8 about to testify to. I don't know if it's being 10 MR. SIPLE: 11 offered for the truth as much as --12 THE COURT: Is he reporting what he was told 13 by someone? 14 MR. SIPLE: He is. THE COURT: Let's establish who told it 15 and --16 17 MR. SIPLE: Sure. (By Mr. Siple) Let's back up, Mr. Brannan, and 18 Q. where did you get your information from, if we could 19 20 just go back with respect to the tape itself? A. On October the 27th when I received the initial 21 22 information, I called the Oklahoma City Task Force, the 23 OKBOMB Task Force, and I talked to a number of agents 24 there. At this point, I don't recall their names. 25 know that I did talk to the case agent and I talked to

the agent that was responsible for the evidence in that case. I also -- and I also called the Los Angeles office with my assistant special agent in charge and we talked to an assistant special agent in charge of the Los Angeles office.

- Q. Did the informant who provided -- or gave you this allegation, give you any names of actual FBI agents?
 - A. Yes, he did.

- Q. Could you please elaborate on that.
- A. At some point he named the two agents that were trying to sell the tape. And in talking with the Los Angeles office and in talking with OPR, it was determined that there are no agents or employees in the FBI with those names. As of 1995, when this happened, there were no employees with those names.
- Q. Could you tell me how -- in what ways was the Office of Professional Responsibility, OPR, involved, what was your relationship with them with respect to this investigation?
- A. My relationship was reporting the allegation, as was our duty, and to report to them the findings that I had from Oklahoma and from Los Angeles, and while they were doing their independent investigation, of which I was not aware, but I reported everything that I found to

1 them. 2 Do you know if the Office of Professional 3 Responsibility ever opened up a formal file of investigation on a particular agent? 4 5 I don't know what they did, whether it was 6 formal, informal, preliminary investigation or -- they 7 didn't tell me exactly what they were doing. Q. Did you recommend to OPR that any particular 8 agents be investigated further? 10 Α. No. 11 Ο. Why is that? 12 Α. Not beyond the two names -- not beyond the two names that I had. 13 14 And do you know if the two names were Ο. investigated? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 How do you know that? Q. 18 They told me that they were looking into it and Α. 19 trying to identify --2.0 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: -- anyone with a similar --22 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection. 23 MR. SIPLE: Sir, there's an objection. MR. TRENTADUE: Foundation. 24 25 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the

objection for the reasons that it's the basis upon which he reached his conclusion. It may or may not be true, but I'm accepting it for the fact that this is what he did.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Agent Brannan, you may continue.
- A. Repeat the question, please.
- Q. Was there -- you related information to OPR. Did you recommend any particular agents be formally investigated by OPR?
- 10 A. Yes, that the two names that I had I requested
 11 they be investigated.
 - Q. Okay. Were you involved --
 - A. And there was also some -- I'm sorry, there was some descriptive information about them. One guy they said how long he had been in the FBI, what his G.S. rating was, that he was a military veteran, that he had gone to a certain sniper school at Quantico, Virginia. Some things like that were also looked into to see if there was an agent that met that criteria.
 - Q. Did you look into whether there was any agent in the FBI that met that criteria?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. What did you determine?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What did you determine?

- A. There was no such -- there was no such agent.
- Q. How did you reach that determination?
- A. Through talking with the agents in Quantico that were connected with the sniper school at Quantico, which is run by the Marine Corps, and through talking to the assistant special agent in charge of the L.A. office about what agents with a particular grade and time in service and a Marine Corps background and the other things that were mentioned by the source as being descriptive of the agent trying to sell the tape.
- Q. You weren't able to locate anyone meeting that description?
- 13 A. No, I was not.
- MR. SIPLE: Could we please pull up

 15 Plaintiff's Exhibit 65.
- 16 MR. TRENTADUE: Plaintiff's 55?
- MR. SIPLE: Oh, I'm sorry, Plaintiff's
- 18 Exhibit 65.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Would you actually read that second paragraph, Agent Brannan, on your 302.
 - A. The source believes that members of the media have submitted their stories relating to the negotiations between an unknown Los Angeles agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI, and Dateline, an NBC television show, for the purchase of a videotape

depicting the arrival of the Ryder truck at the Alfred
P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City.

- Q. And the 302 mentions specifically a Dateline NBC television show. Were you, as part of your responsibilities, monitoring to see if this tape was going to end up on a media broadcast of any kind, of any type?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know, was this video ever aired on Dateline or any news outlet?
- 11 A. It was not.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- Mr. Brannan, if I could refer you --
- 14 A. I --
- Q. Go ahead.
- A. I don't believe I completely answered your
 question about my reasoning in making a determination on
 the truthfulness of the allegation. I mentioned that
 there was no such agent, but there were some other
 factors that went into that determination.
 - Q. Okay. What were those other factors?
 - A. The second factor was in talking to the Oklahoma
 City Bomb Task Force they told us there was no video
 surveillance camera that was recovered that had any such
 video on it. There were a number of video recordings

taken and analyzed, but there was nothing that ever showed the Ryder truck pulling up to the building and Timothy McVeigh getting out of the truck and a second person getting out of the truck. That video just did not exist.

and then the other two factors are when you get an allegation, especially in working public corruption, there are a lot of allegations that are made against public officials, and it's been my experience that most of the allegations are not true, or at least there's no way to prove whether they're true or not. So you have to look at the source of the information, how credible is the source of the information. And my confidential source was reporting to me not information that he knew firsthand, but was information from someone else that was telling him this information. So it was very hard to determine the credibility of this information because it was coming secondhand to me, so I'm the thirdhand recipient of the information.

- Q. Agent Brannan, how confident are you in that determination that this was a hoax?
 - A. I'm certain of it.

2.0

Q. May I have you look at what are the two pages that you have brought with you today. If we could pull up what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 251. Agent

Brannan, have you had an opportunity to review these documents, these two documents?

- Α. Yes.
- Do you recognize them? Ο.
- Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

- How do you recognize them? Q.
- Α. These are documents that I prepared in the FBI office in Birmingham in 1995.
 - Q. Why did you prepare these documents?
- The first document, dated 10/30/1995, was an electronic communication requesting that the Birmingham office open a fraud-by-wire case to investigate the hoax of the videotapes we've been talking about. If someone was trying to get a million dollars from a television program for something that didn't exist, then it would have been a fraud, and so I wanted to open a case to investigate this fraud.
- Okay. Can we turn to the second page, the second Ο. document of this exhibit. Do you recognize that particular communication?
- 21 Α. Yes.
 - How do you recognize it? Q.
- 23 This, again, is a document that I prepared related to the fraud-by-wire case that had been opened October 30th.

- Q. Why did you prepare this particular document?
- A. This was to close the case.

2.4

MR. SIPLE: Thank you.

Your Honor, I would move to admit Defendant's Exhibit 251.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I object to the first page as hearsay. I don't object to the second page being admitted for the truth of the matter.

MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, I think this is for completeness. There's an allegation that -- the plaintiff has made it clear there's an allegation that this is true, that, if anything, it's a credible lead. I think we should be able to put into the record the documents reflecting the FBI's conclusions with respect to the allegation.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, the credible lead goes to the FOIA people's search, not to what they have done in preparation for this trial.

THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection. Again, the document will be received for the fact that it indicates the explanation as to why the file was closed, which is the second page, without having considered whether or not the information in the document is true or not true.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 251 was

1 received into evidence.) 2 MR. SIPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. I have 3 no additional questions. THE COURT: Cross-examination? 4 5 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TRENTADUE: 8 Q. I'm going to show you some documents, Agent Brannan, and ask you if you can see them. Can you see 10 what is on the screen now as Exhibit 55? 11 A. Yes, I can. 12 That was one of the -- it's not a 302. What do Ο. you call it? 1.3 14 It's just a memorandum. Α. You were at Birmingham at the time, correct? 15 Q. 16 Correct. Α. 17 Who was your confidential source? Q. 18 MR. SIPLE: Objection, Your Honor. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not allowed --20 MR. SIPLE: We cannot reveal confidential 21 source information, but we could do potentially en camera if directed. 22 23 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the 24 last part. 25 MR. SIPLE: We cannot, to protect the

identity of informants, we cannot reveal informant information, and so we would ask that the informant's name not be revealed. If the court thinks the name should be revealed, then we would ask to have it en camera ex parte. MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I object. Everything is based upon this confidential informant, Mr. Brannan's conclusions. I think we're entitled to know who that confidential informant is and know it in open court. MR. SIPLE: I would argue, Your Honor, that he's just testifying to his conclusions based on his relationship with the informant. And if there are any issues with respect to the identity or the failure to identify the informant, then I guess that would just go to weight. THE COURT: At this stage, in terms of the open record, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Trentadue can come up with a basis to have the information disclosed en camera, I'll hear that at a later time. MR. TRENTADUE: Was the confidential informant with the Southern Poverty Law Center? MR. SIPLE: Objection. This goes to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

identity of the informant.

THE COURT: Well, it's long enough ago that

I'm not sure that that -- that there is any basis to

sustain that objection or that it would necessarily lead

to the identity. I'm going to overrule that objection.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) You may answer the question.
- A. The answer is no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. Now, you say the investigation focused upon the two names given by this informant.
- A. Correct, and along with the other identifying information, descriptive information, about one of the agents.
- Q. And as far as the name of the agents, did you do that search, or was it done in the Los Angeles Field Office for that investigation?
- A. It was done in two places, in the Los Angeles Field Office for that office, and then in Washington with the OPR for the entire FBI.
- Q. They reported back to you what they supposedly found.
- A. Correct.
 - Q. You did not do that investigation yourself.
 - A. No. I didn't have the means to do that.
- Q. So you don't know if it was accurate nor not.
- A. I believe it was accurate.
- 25 Q. That wasn't my question, sir. You do not know

whether it was accurate or not, correct?

- A. Correct, yes.
- Q. Now, you say you called Oklahoma and you talked about videotapes, correct?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Did you talk about any tapes from the Murrah Federal Building security cameras?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

19

2.0

- Q. And they told you that the tapes showed nothing?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. They did discuss the tapes with you.
- A. They -- we discussed all of the tapes that they
 had gathered, and they said there is no tape that showed
 what I was describing with the Ryder truck pulling up
 with Timothy McVeigh driving and a second person in the
 vehicle and then exiting the vehicle, there was no tape
 like that.
 - Q. But you remember them discussing the Murrah Building tape with you.
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, if we could look at the -- if the government could put up for me Exhibit 251. Now, you opened your investigation on -- after October 30th, 1995, the top of the page, the date of this memo?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
If we could see the second page, please.
1
       Q.
2
    closed the investigation on -- less than a month later,
3
    on November 22nd, 1995?
       Α.
           Correct.
 4
5
           Is it possible for the government to blow up the
6
    last paragraph in this exhibit?
7
                Now, you say in the last paragraph -- please
    read that into the record for us, sir.
8
           Subsequently, the informant was closed based on
10
    control problems with the informant. Birmingham is
11
    closing this investigation based on lack of any
12
    prosecutive jurisdiction in the matter.
13
       Q.
          So you were having problems with your informant?
14
       Α.
           Yes.
           So it was truthful that it was closed because of
15
       Q.
    your problems with the informant, not because you had
16
17
    thoroughly investigated the case, isn't that correct,
18
    sir?
19
       Α.
           Yes.
20
                MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your
21
    Honor.
22
                THE COURT: Redirect?
23
                MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor.
    //
24
25
    //
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SIPLE:

2.0

- Q. With the exhibit still on the screen here, what investigation, Mr. Brannan, is this document referring to, was it referring to the investigation that you were doing of the allegation, or was it a mail fraud investigation that you were attempting to initiate?
- A. It was a fraud-by-wire investigation. It had nothing to do -- or it was a separate investigation from the allegations about the tape. That investigation had already concluded that there wasn't any tape and there were no agents with those names as alleged.
- Q. But how do these documents tie into the allegation of the tapes?
- A. If the tapes were a hoax, then if someone was trying to contact Dateline to sell something that was a hoax, it would have been a fraud by wire -- or a potential fraud-by-wire case. And so that was a way for me and Birmingham to investigate a fraud through the confidential informant. The confidential informant had contact with the person who allegedly had seen the tape and was acting as a go-between with the person that was selling the tapes. But once I lost the informant, then there was nothing else that I could do in Birmingham because there was no criminal activity happening in the

1 Northern District of Alabama, and so I closed that case. 2 Q. I just want to be clear, the case you're closing 3 here is the case you were looking to make a fraud case because of your conclusion that the OKBOMB tape sale 4 5 allegation was a fraud; is that correct? 6 A. Yes. 7 MR. SIPLE: Thank you. THE COURT: Any cross? 8 9 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TRENTADUE: 11 12 Q. Mr. Brannan, when were you first contacted about testifying here today? 13 14 I'm sorry, I had --15 Excuse me. When were you first contacted about Q. testifying here today? 16 17 A. Maybe two weeks ago, 10 days, two weeks ago, 18 something like that. 19 Who contacted you? Q. 20 Α. Initially I was contacted by an employee of the 21 Birmingham FBI office, and then I was contacted later by 22 Adam. 23 Q. Mr. Siple? 2.4 MR. TRENTADUE: Is that your last name? 25 MR. SIPLE: My last name is Siple.

MR. TRENTADUE: What? 1 2 MR. SIPLE: My last name is Siple, 3 S-i-p-l-e. 4 Ο. (By Mr. Trentadue) Mr. Siple? 5 Α. Yes. Q. Thank you. 6 7 Now, I was confused throughout your examination, Mr. Brannan, as to the significance of 8 Exhibit 251, but I think I may understand now. So there 10 were actually two investigations. There was an 11 investigation by OPR for the agents. 12 Α. Yes. 1.3 Q. And they conducted that investigation, not you. I participated in the investigation and furnished 14 Α. them the information that I had -- that I had learned. 15 But, of course, they're going to do their own 16 17 investigation. They're in Washington and they have 18 their standards, and they're not going to rely on what 19 an agent in Birmingham is telling them totally. 2.0 Q. But Exhibit 251 relates to your investigation 21 about someone in the District of Birmingham attempting 22 to sell these tapes. 23 Α. No. 24 Q. It was the fraud aspect of it then, correct? 25 It was the fraud aspect, but, as it turned out, Α.

there was no jurisdiction in Birmingham because there 1 2 was nobody in Birmingham that was trying to sell the 3 tapes. Q. But my point being that you were investigating 4 5 whether or not there was a fraudulent attempt to sell 6 the tapes regardless of whether it involved an agent or 7 not, weren't you, sir? Yes. 8 Α. OPR, on the other hand, was investigating agent Q. 10 involvement in an attempt to sell the tapes. 11 Α. Correct. 12 They conducted that part of the investigation, correct? 13 14 Correct. Α. 15 Did you ever get a copy of their report? Q. No, I did not. 16 Α. 17 So you don't know what investigation they did, do 18 you, sir? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. You don't know what their true conclusions were, do you, sir? 21 22 I know what they told me. 23 Q. But you don't know what is in their report. 24 Α. No, I don't know what's in their report.

So if anyone were to come in here and testify

25

0.

about whether Exhibits 55, 56, 57, 58, 65, and 60 had merit in terms of agent involvement, it should be someone from OPR, shouldn't it, sir?

- A. Well, I believe I have that information.
- Q. That wasn't the question. They were the ones in charge of that investigation, weren't they, sir?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. They're not here, are they, sir?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. And instead -- I mean no disrespect, sir, you appear to testify on the basis of secondhand knowledge or thirdhand acknowledge as to what their conclusions were.
- A. Well, I believe I would have had the same knowledge that they had because I would have talked to the same people they talked to.
- Q. Well, my question, you don't know that for a fact, do you, sir?
 - A. No, I don't know the full extent of their investigation. I would assume they did more than I did.
 - Q. You would hope they did more than you did, wouldn't you, sir? I don't mean any disrespect, because their responsibility is different than yours, correct?
 - A. That's true.
- 25 Q. They're the ones talking for the Bureau, correct,

OPR? 1 2 Yes, they are. Α. 3 They should dig into this matter very seriously, Q. shouldn't they, sir, agent corruption, allegations of 4 5 agent corruption? 6 Α. And I believe they did. 7 But you don't know what they did. Q. No. But it all started with me and my source, 8 Α. and I feel that I'm in the best --10 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, move to strike 11 as nonresponsive. 12 THE WITNESS: -- to evaluate my source. 13 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to let it stand as his explanation. The objection is overruled. 14 motion to strike is denied. 15 16 MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your 17 Honor. 18 MR. SIPLE: May I ask a few more questions? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. SIPLE: 22 Mr. Brannan, I just want to clarify the role of 23 OPR. Did you furnish information to OPR? Did they 24 direct you to provide them information about the inquiry 25 that you made?

```
1
       Α.
           Yes.
2
       Q.
           And did you do that?
3
           Yes.
       Α.
           Did they ever inform you they didn't need any
4
       Ο.
5
    more information because the case had been closed?
6
                MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, hearsay, Your
7
    Honor.
8
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
9
                MR. SIPLE: He's just conveying that he knew
10
    the information he --
11
                MR. TRENTADUE: Foundation too, Your Honor.
12
                THE COURT: He said that they informed him.
    He didn't say what they told him.
13
14
                MR. SIPLE: Okay. I'm sorry, I don't
    understand that.
15
16
                THE COURT: The question was did they ever
17
    inform you as to whether the case was closed, and he
18
    said, yes, they informed him, but he didn't testify what
19
    they told him.
20
                MR. SIPLE: We'll just leave it at that.
21
    Thank you.
22
           (By Mr. Siple) And in your experience working
23
    with corruption matters, Mr. Brannan, do you often work
    closely with OPR?
24
25
       A. No.
```

But when it involved agents would you work with 1 Q. 2 OPR? 3 I'm sorry, repeat the question. Α. If the allegation concerned an agent would you be 4 Ο. 5 in connection -- would you be in contact with OPR? 6 THE COURT: Why don't you ask him a 7 different question. Did he ever work with OPR on any other basis? 8 MR. SIPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. (By Mr. Siple) Special Agent Brannan, did you 10 11 ever work with OPR on any other cases? 12 Α. No, I did not. 1.3 Do you think that OPR could open a case on a nonexistent agent? 14 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, speculation. 15 16 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. SIPLE: Mr. Brannan, what did OPR inform 17 18 you when they closed the case, when they informed you 19 that the case had been closed? 20 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, hearsay, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 MR. SIPLE: If I can have one moment, Your 24 Honor. 25 THE COURT: You may.

MR. SIPLE: Do you recall when you were 1 2 informed the case was closed? 3 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that objection, it's not even be made yet. It attempts to 4 5 get around the objection I just sustained. MR. SIPLE: I'm just asking -- he was 6 7 allowed to answer he had been informed the case was closed, and I'm trying to establish, Your Honor, the 8 time period when that occurred. 10 THE COURT: Okay. You can ask him the 11 question, better framed, when were you informed as to 12 whether the case was closed or not. 13 Q. (By Mr. Siple) Mr. Brannan, when were you informed whether the case was closed or not? 14 It was about two weeks after the initial 15 information came in. 16 17 MR. SIPLE: Thank you, Mr. Brannan. 18 THE COURT: Any followup, Mr. Trentadue? 19 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor, just one 20 question. 21 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TRENTADUE: 22 23 So if I understand your answer, Mr. Brannan, OPR 24 spent about two weeks investigating this matter. 25 Α. That's correct.

MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your 1 2 Honor. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brannan, thank 4 you for your testimony. You are now excused. 5 you. 6 Why don't we take our midmorning break at 7 this point. We'll be in recess until about ten minutes 8 to 11:00. MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would renew my 10 objection and ask the witness's testimony be stricken 11 because they clearly contacted him well in advance of 12 the trial. 13 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Again, you can argue the significance of that at the 14 15 appropriate point. 16 MR. TRENTADUE: Thank you, sir. 17 (Recess.) 18 THE CLERK: Court resumes session. 19 THE COURT: We're back in the matter of 20 Trentadue v. The FBI. 21 Just for planing purposes, I should let you 22 know that I have a meeting that will start at noon and 23 last until 1:00 so that we'll take a little longer for 24 our lunch break, then we'll be back in session right at 25 1:00. You may proceed.

MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. The FBI calls 1 2 Special Agent Retired Walter Lamar. 3 WALTER LAMAR, 4 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, 5 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 6 7 THE CLERK: Would you please say and spell your full name for the record. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Walter E. Lamar, L-a-m-a-r. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIPLE: 11 12 Q. Good morning Special Agent Lamar. 1.3 Α. Good morning. 14 Let me ask, where do you currently reside? Q. 15 In Albuquerque, New Mexico. Α. 16 And are you currently employed? 0. 17 Yes. Α. 18 And how are you employed? Q. 19 I'm the President and CEO of Lamar Associates. Α. 20 Q. Could you please describe for the court what is 21 Lamar Associates? 22 Lamar Associates is a small firm that provides 23 training for tribal communities across the nation. 2.4 Q. Are you Native American? 25 Yes. I am actually an enrolled member of the

1 Black Ute Nation of Montana.

- Q. Prior to Lamar Associates, were you employed elsewhere?
- A. Yes. I was the Deputy Director of the Bureau of Indian Affairs Law Enforcement.
 - Q. And then prior to being with the Bureau of Indian Affairs, where were you employed?
- A. 1980 through 2000 I was employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 10 Q. 1980 to 2000?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

- 12 Q. Did you retire from the FBI?
- A. No. I left the FBI and transferred over to the
 Bureau of Indian Affairs, and then I retired from the
 Bureau of Indian Affairs.
 - Q. Thank you. Special Agent Lamar, do you recall where you were assigned at the time of the Oklahoma City bombing, April 19, 1995?
- A. I was assigned to the Oklahoma City Division of the FBI.
- Q. Do you recall where you were specifically when the bombing occurred?
- A. I was in North Edmond, Oklahoma preparing for a trip to Albuquerque, New Mexico.
 - Q. How did you learn about the bombing?

A. I heard it and felt it.

2.0

- Q. What did you do after you heard it and felt the bombing?
- A. I immediately went back into my house and I turned on the television and I saw some of the early news coverage and went out to -- I had my FBI car there at my residence, and went and turned on the FBI radio and heard transmissions from that area from agents that were reporting that there had been a blast downtown.
 - Q. What did you do next?
- A. I got into my car and put up the red light and turned on the siren and went down to downtown Oklahoma City.
- Q. What did you observe as you pulled into downtown Oklahoma City?
- A. I parked on Sixth Street and went west on Sixth Street, so the first building I saw really was the Journal Record, and once I saw the damage there, I thought possibly that that's what they had been talking about until I went around the corner and saw the Federal Building and realized that that's where there had been a significant blast.
- Q. Do you recall what time it was approximately when you made it down to that area?
- A. It probably would have been before 9:30, but I'm

not certain exactly what time I arrived there.

- Q. What did you do once you got to the scene?
- A. Once I got to the scene I checked in with an agent who was there in front of the Federal Building and he was standing by what I was informed was a crater where a blast had occurred. After discussion with him, I went around to the south side of the building and I encountered a DEA agent who explained that they still had people in the building, and I went into the building.
- Q. What did you do once you got into the building?
- A. I went into the building and started searching four by four engaged in the rescue effort looking for people that might be trapped or injured.
- Q. Just to be clear, this is -- I just want to make sure we have it in the record, the building is the Murrah Federal Building; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, A.P. Murrah Federal Building.
- Q. Could you please continue to describe what you did as you were in the building searching for people.
- A. I started working up the floors that would have been on the east side of the building, ended up on floors that were farther towards the west, and I ended up on the ninth floor where I encountered at least one person from the U.S. Marshal Service and they were

looking for an ATF agent, and so I participated with them trying to find the ATF agent until -- and it was probably around between 10:00 in the morning and 10:30 that we were ordered out of the building because there was a report of a secondary device in the building. And we all scrambled out of the building.

- Q. When you say you were ordered out of the building, was that you yourself or were there others? Can you elaborate on that?
- A. Well, there were shouts and calls that there -get out of the building, get out of the building, and it
 was essentially everybody. So as far as I know, the
 building cleared out.
- Q. You said concern about a secondary device, what do you mean by that?
- A. Well, we were told subsequently that there were some inert training devices that ATF had in the building and that somebody had -- one of the rescuers had run across those and reported them as a secondary device, a possible secondary device.
- Q. Okay. So what happened after you left the building because of this concern about a device?
- A. Well, I ended up going down the street.

 Everybody was running away from the building. I ran

 into a special agent who was clearly distressed and

distraught, and I asked him what was going on, and he explained to me that he had left a woman in the building who was still alive and trapped. So we turned back around and ran against the crowd and ran back to the building. And there were some Oklahoma City police officers that we informed of what was going on. We stood there on the street for a bit trying to figure out what was going on with the building, and then we went back in and went down into the building where the female was trapped.

Q. Did you help this female in any way?

- A. She was buried in what I would describe as tons of ruble, and we were working with our hands because there was no one else in the building at the time. So we were pulling concrete pieces away and we were trying to clear out the area right around her so that we could have more freedom of movement to try to get her out, but we weren't able to do so.
- Q. Do you know if she was ever -- do you know if she was ultimately freed by somebody else?
- A. She was, and Amy Petty was actually the person.

 And somewhere throughout the day the fire department was able to get enough equipment in to get her out of the building.
 - Q. Were other FBI agents with you in the building

helping to rescue people or was it just you?

- A. There was myself and Matt Lotspeich, who was a special agent with the FBI, and I know that there were other agents that were engaged in the rescue effort.
- Q. What was the primary concern for you at that time when you approached the scene and went into the building?
- A. To save lives, engage in the rescue, and do what I could to find anybody that might be trapped or injured.
- Q. Did you later take on any role with respect to the FBI's investigation of the bombing itself, the crime?
 - A. Yes.

- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I renew my objection on the basis of due process and notice.
- THE COURT: The objection is recognized and overruled.
 - Q. (By Mr. Siple) Did you later come to take on any role with respect to the FBI's investigation of the bombing itself?
 - A. I was engaged in a number of roles because it was a very active investigation. Later that afternoon, though, I did engage in a search for surveillance equipment and recording equipment in the area of the

A.P. Murrah Federal Building.

- Q. Could you please elaborate on the search, how did you get involved in the search?
- A. Well, myself and Special Agent Matt Schneck of the FBI, we went to the A.P. Murrah Federal Building and started there scanning -- we walked around the block looking at storefronts, offices, places of business looking for visible surveillance cameras, and then actually going to as many of the places that we could either get into or get access to to look for surveillance cameras and recording equipment.
- Q. Why were you doing this, why were you involved in this effort?
- A. Based on my experience, I was in San Francisco and San Diego offices of the FBI and I was assigned bank robbery investigations during those years, and as a response to bank robberies, obviously you look for the surveillance recordings in the bank, but we also made it a practice to look for surveillance recordings in the area on the off chance there could be a camera that saw something at the bank. So I employed that same methodology to do a search around the A.P. Murrah Federal Building looking for surveillance equipment that may have captured anything relevant to what happened that day.

I would like to get the timeframe. Do you recall 1 Q. 2 when you started this effort? 3 A. Probably in late afternoon, I would say, probably around, my recollection is, 4:30, 5:00, somewhere in 4 there. 5 6 Q. And if we could get a better understanding of the 7 area where you thought should be searched for films, how much of a radius are we talking from the Murrah Building 8 out? 10 We started at that block that was immediately 11 around the A.P. Murrah Federal Building and we extended 12 a block and then we extended yet another block from 13 there. 14 Did you pull any tapes from the Murrah Building? 0. 15 Α. No. Why not? 16 Q. We were told that there were no --17 Α. 18 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, hearsay, Your Honor, and foundation. 19 20 MR. SIPLE: He's out searching for tapes, Your Honor, canvassing the area. Did he pull any tapes. 21 22 THE COURT: He was told not to search for 23 the tapes, but his reasoning I guess is hearsay. Why is 2.4 it relevant to this investigation what he was told? 25 MR. SIPLE: To show why, as part of the

investigation, he did not -- his actions, why he 1 2 responded the way he did. 3 THE COURT: If you establish foundation, who told him, I'm going to allow it, but not for the truth 4 5 of the fact as to whether there were tapes, but only the 6 fact of why he didn't search for them. 7 Q. (By Mr. Siple) Special Agent Lamar, as part of your efforts to search for tapes, did you attempt to 8 recover tapes from the Murrah Building? 10 A. I inquired about tapes from the Murrah Building 11 and from --MR. TRENTADUE: Foundation, who he inquired 12 of. 13 14 THE COURT: Sustained. (By Mr. Siple) Who did you inquire of? 15 Q. Α. I don't recall. 16 17 MR. TRENTADUE: I continue my objection. 18 THE COURT: I'll wait for the question. 19 (By Mr. Siple) Maybe I'll ask it this way, did Q. 20 you collect any tapes from the Murrah Building? 21 Α. No. 22 Special Agent Lamar, were you involved -- were 23 you involved in the collection of tapes from the Regency 24 Tower Apartments? 25 A. Yes, I was.

Q. Could you please explain to the court how you were involved in collecting tapes from Regency Tower?

2.4

A. At approximately quarter after 7:00 that evening, as part of the search, I went to the area of the Regency Tower, which is approximately a block away from the Federal Building, and there was a special agent there that was guarding the front entrance to the Regency Tower. Actually, I believe she was -- there was a piece of evidence there that she was guarding. I told her what I was there for. And she said somewhere around 5:00 she was handed a tape from the surveillance equipment in the Regency Tower by two police officers, and she turned it over to me.

Q. Did you collect anything else from Regency Tower or do anything else with respect to looking at the Regency Tower for videotapes?

A. I went into the building to look at the recording equipment and take notes from the recording equipment, serial number, model number, and so on, and then, much like I did all the rest of the areas, I looked over the building looking for the cameras so that I would understand what the camera angles were and where there might be a camera that would potentially have something of value.

Q. What kind of cameras were you looking for

specifically?

Α.

No.

A. I was looking for surveillance cameras that were situated at the Regency Tower. Particularly I was looking for cameras that were being recorded that had recorded images.

- Q. Other than the videotape that you just mentioned, the footage that you just mentioned taking custody of, did you take any other footage from the Regency Tower?
- Q. As the search for tapes was being conducted, where did the tapes go, who took custody of the tapes?
- A. I took personal custody of the tapes as they were retrieved from some of the businesses and some of the storefronts in the area and I personally handled the tapes, carried the tapes, I initialed and dated each tape as I took it and made a note where it came from and who had handed it over to me, and I maintained custody of the tapes.
 - Q. What did you do with the tapes that you had?
- A. Later that evening I went to the command post that was at the Southwest Bell Building and I reported to several of the agents who were managing some of the tasks and advised them that I had video surveillance tapes from the area and that I needed to know what to do with them in terms of whether somebody that was

qualified could take those tapes and review them with the time lapse -- with equipment that would read time-lapse video, or it was best to get them back to the laboratory. I was told that it was best not to put the tapes in any equipment, but wait and just get them sent to the lab.

- Q. Did you send them to the lab?
- A. At probably around 7:00 or so the next morning I got a phone call to respond to the Oklahoma City
 Airport, I believe it was, and there was an FBI Special
 Agent Pilot who was there that had just flown out from
 Washington, D.C., and I had already completed a 192,
 which is a form that we refer to as a green sheet that
 shows the -- what evidence that I had, and then I turned
 it over to him and got his signature on the form and
 released custody of those tapes to him.
- Q. And, I'm sorry, just to be clear, "to him," who is it you're referring to?
 - A. Special Agent Pilot who had flown out from Washington, D.C.
- Q. Did you ever have an opportunity to personally review the tapes that were collected?
- 23 A. I did.

- Q. And how was that, how did you do that?
- 25 A. After they came back from the laboratory, the

tapes that I had retrieved were provided back to me, and they were now in a format that had -- that made it easy for review.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, can we get some foundation as to date on this.

THE WITNESS: The date that I received the tapes back probably, if my recollection serves me correctly, would have been the 22nd, but I reviewed them on the 23rd, April the 23rd, and that's when I started reviewing copies of the original tapes that I had retrieved.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) What were you looking for on the tapes?
- A. At the time I was looking for anything that I thought would be relevant to the investigation. And at that point, on the 23rd, you know, I know that there was a Mercury that was involved, there was a Ryder truck that was involved, of course, so I was looking for anything that would be depicted of that nature.
- Q. During your review did you find any footage of a Ryder truck?
 - A. I did.

2.0

- Q. And could you please describe the footage that you reviewed?
 - A. It was from the Regency Tower tape and it was

from a camera that was situated that had a view of the lobby and it also washed out into the street, so it had a view of -- a partial view of the street in front of the Regency Tower, which would have been Fifth Street, and I started at 9:02, and it turns out that the equipment at the Regency Tower stopped functioning at probably 9:02. I started working my way backwards and at 8:56 I saw a Ryder truck that was in front of the Regency Tower.

- Q. During your review of the tapes did you find any other footage showing the Ryder truck?
- A. No.

2.0

- Q. So the only footage that you found was from the Regency Tower of the Ryder truck?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know -- let me ask you, Agent Lamar, was there any film that you reviewed that showed the Ryder truck or a truck of any kind on detonation in the vicinity of the Murrah Building?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you have a title that was given to you, official or otherwise, with respect to this role you took on with the videotapes?
 - A. Well, it would have been not official, but otherwise is when there were questions and there were

discussions about videotapes they would say get in touch with Walt, he's the video guy, he's handling the video stuff.

Q. And why was that?

2.0

- A. Because early on I was -- myself and Special Agent Schneck were the agents that were engaged in the search for videotapes and it was recognized by the people that I had these original tapes, and then once tapes -- and I ended up actually going back to the laboratory to review the tapes on the 23rd after I saw the Ryder truck, and thereafter I was the person typically that was called when there was a question about videotapes.
- Q. Why was it that you had to go back to the lab on the 23rd?
- A. When I reviewed the tape and the Ryder truck was on the tape -- and I also reviewed other tapes that I had copies of the tapes that I had retrieved, and actually I was extremely excited that I saw the Ryder truck because of the possible investigative value of that, and I went -- immediately went next door into a conference room where there were other agents working and informed a number of them to come and take a look at it, and they came and took a look. They suggested that I immediately call the laboratory and find out if there

was anything they could do to possibly enhance the images that we were looking at.

- Q. Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 69. I believe this should be in the blue binder, but it should also show up on your screen, Special Agent Lamar.
- A. It's in front of me, but there's nothing on the screen. That's fine. I can see what I have in front of me.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to review this document?
- A. Yes.

- Q. I just want to ask you, this refers to a tape from the Regency Tower apparently from an ATM machine.

 Was there a tape taken from an ATM machine?
- 15 A. No.
 - Q. How do you know that?
 - A. Because I was there retrieving the tapes and I don't recall that there was an ATM machine there.
 - Q. Does anything in this document cause you to change your conclusions with respect to your testimony there was only this one tape of the Ryder truck in front of the Regency Tower?
 - A. This document is an investigative insert. An investigative insert is only to provide information to a file. So it is only basically a regurgitation from an

investigative assistant of what took place at a 1 2 briefing. So it doesn't change anything that I know. 3 We can take the exhibit down. Ο. Special Agent Lamar, how confident are you 4 5 in your conclusion that there were no videotapes 6 collected of a Ryder truck or any truck on detonation in 7 the vicinity of the Murrah Building? MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation, Your 8 Honor. 10 MR. SIPLE: He's testified that he collected 11 and reviewed the tapes. 12 THE COURT: His level of confidence is 13 really for the factfinder to decide, not for him to express, so I'm going to sustain the objection. 14 15 (By Mr. Siple) Agent Lamar, are you aware of the allegations that the FBI may be involved in some sort of 16 17 coverup trying to hide tapes or evidence from the OKBOMB 18 investigation? 19 Yes. Α. 20 Q. How do those allegations make you feel? 21 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, relevance. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 MR. SIPLE: No additional questions. THE COURT: Cross-examination? 24 // 25

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TRENTADUE:

2.0

- Q. Do you have Exhibit 69 in front of you, Agent Lamar?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you know who wrote that?
 - A. Who wrote the document itself?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. I would imagine, based on what I'm looking at here, that the initials ZAM, and then it was reported by Zelda A. Morris, and she's identified as an investigative assistant, and based on the markings on this investigative insert, she would have been the one who dictated it, and then it was transcribed by TAS.
 - Q. Do you know who Zelda A. Morris is?
- 16 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Now, you say that you were tasked with going working out from the Murrah Building to the surrounding buildings looking for video cameras and videotape.
 - A. I wouldn't say that I was tasked. I took on that task and suggested to some of the supervisors in the command post that I was going to do that.
 - Q. You went to -- if we could show you what's been marked as Exhibit 62, it's in the blue book. Do you recognize this document, sir?

- It's an investigative insert that was prepared by 1 Α. Matthew Schneck.
 - Q. Would it be fair to say that this document results from some of your searches?
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

19

20

21

22

- Ο. You were the source of this information, or part of this information?
- Agent Schneck dictated the document, but it was Α. from activity that he and I had engaged in, yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you report these different locations 11 out from the Murrah Building where you found and didn't 12 find tape.
 - Yes, sir. Can you enlarge this just a bit?
 - Yes. What part would you like enlarged? Ο.
- Just the whole insert just a little bit. 15 you go. And yes. 16
- Do we have a date on this? 17 Ο.
- 18 The date of the activity was April the 19th. Α.
 - Okay. So you report, for example, on this date, Q. Special Agents Schneck and Lamar initiated a search for any potential surveillance camera videos that may exist depicting the events to the crime scene of the Alfred Murrah Federal Building.
- A. Yes, sir. 2.4
- Q. You go down and list some. And when we get down 25

- to this one here, if you can see it on the screen, There
 were no cameras located in and around the First

 Methodist Church, which was being used as a morgue, and
 there were no available cameras at the Federal

 Courthouse.
 - A. There were not cameras -- recordings that were available to us that night.
 - Q. Okay. Did you subsequently prepare an additional report? I want to ask you, did you search other buildings than these?
 - A. Yes.

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. The YMCA Building, for example?
- 13 A. We went to the YMCA Building, yes.
 - Q. You talked about the Murrah Building. Have you prepared additional reports that reflect your searches of the Murrah Building or the YMCA Building or the other buildings that you looked for tapes around the perimeter?
 - A. Yes. I'll say that I dictated a report that reflected that search.
- Q. And do you know if that's ever been produced in this case?
- 23 A. I don't know if it has nor not.
- Q. First of all, Agent Lamar, when were you first contacted about testifying here today?

- 1 A. Within the past two weeks.
- Q. Who contacted you?
 - A. AUSA Siple.

4

7

- Q. He told you you were going to testify?
- 5 A. He asked me what I knew about the events of April 6 the 19th.
 - Q. Now, you say you initialed the tapes that you took into evidence. Where did you initial them, I mean on the evidence bag or on the tapes themselves?
- 10 A. On the tapes themselves.
- 11 Q. Where would that have been?
- A. Well, at the time the VHS tapes typically had some type of label on the front, a paper label, and I initialed them on the paper labels.
- Q. Was it like on the back of the tape or on the front of the tape?
- A. Probably on -- you know, I don't recall

 specifically. I know that I initialed them on -
 usually on -- it would have probably been the paper that

 would have taken ink.
- Q. I'm going to show you what's marked as Exhibit 37. Do you recognize this as a 302?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And it's got some information at the bottom, investigation on 10/26/95. Would that be the date the

event occurred that's recorded in this 302? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Then it says over here 174A-OC. 174A is a type Q. of crime, isn't it? 4 5 It's a classification for a crime, yes. A bombing, and A means high priority. 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. And OC means Oklahoma City Field Office? 8 Q. 9 Yes, sir. Α. And that's the case number, 56120. 10 Q. 11 Yes, sir. Α. This is where this serial -- this document was 12 Q. 13 serialized as sub-file D as Document 11,221. 14 Α. Yes, sir. So if you wanted to find this document you could 15 Q. go in the file and look just like count up and go to 16 document 11,221 and it would be this document. 17 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Okay. And the person who prepared this report is Q. 20 who? 21 Special Agent William Epright III. Α. 22 Do you know him? Q. 23 Α. No. 24 Q. But do you have any reason to doubt that this is 25 not an official bombing investigation document?

- A. It appears that it is. Can you enlarge the -- I can't necessarily read all what it's saying. Is that important?
- Q. It is. I'm going to enlarge it for you right now and for me too. It says, The following investigation concerns lead control number 13255. On October 26, 1995, Special Agent William Epright III reviewed the contents of sub-file W 1A23. The 1A envelope contains an Oklahoma City Police report dated 4/24/95 by Sergeant -- by a sergeant. It cut off the first part. It looks like Ritch L. Willis. The report states that Sergeant Willis recovered a videotape from the security camera at the Regency Tower Apartments. And this was dated 10/26/95.
- 15 A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. So that wasn't a tape that you recovered.
- 17 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And you don't know exactly when that tape found its way into the possession of the FBI.
 - A. Well, based on this document, it would have been -- probably been October 26th of 1995.
- MR. TRENTADUE: We would offer Exhibit 37, 23 Your Honor, Document 37.
- 24 THE CLERK: I already have it in.
- MR. SIPLE: Is it already entered?

THE CLERK: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Let me show you what's been marked, Agent Lamar, as Exhibit 38 in the blue binder, and again this appears to be -- I'll ask you about another 302 concerning a Ronald E. Stakem?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

1.3

14

15

- Q. If you would turn to the third page.
- A. Remind me what that is. I can't see the exhibit number on here.
- 10 Q. It's 38 in the blue binder. I'll highlight that 11 part.
 - A. Once again, if you could just --
 - Q. I will do that as soon as I get it highlighted.

 This is an agent apparently reporting back about an interview with Mr. Stakem. He says, Stakem described to Kaminski -- do you know an Agent Kaminski?
- 17 A. No, sir.
- Q. -- what he observed that morning. Kaminski did
 not explain to Stakem how the FBI had known he was
 downtown and Stakem assumed that his license plate
 number had been retrieved by the video camera near the
 Federal Building.
- 23 A. I see that, yes, sir.
- MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, we would offer
 Exhibit 38 not for the truth of the matter necessarily.

THE COURT: Exhibit 38 is received. 1 2 MR. SIPLE: It's not relevant. It doesn't 3 mention actual surveillance footage. THE COURT: The objection's overruled. 4 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 38 was 5 received into evidence.) 6 7 (By Mr. Trentadue) I'll have you look at Exhibit Q. Number 36 and I'll put it up on the screen. Exhibit 8 9 Number 36, do you recognize what this document is, Agent 10 Lamar? 11 Α. It's an investigative insert. 12 Q. What is an investigative insert? 1.3 Α. An investigative insert is a document to provide 14 information to the file. And do you know a Pamela A. Matson? 15 Q. 16 No, sir, I don't believe I do. 17 MR. TRENTADUE: I believe this is already in 18 evidence, so that's all the questions I have for this 19 witness, Your Honor. 2.0 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 Any redirect? 22 MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIPLE: 24 25 Agent Lamar, were you ever prepared to testify in 0.

either of the McVeigh or Nichols prosecutions? 1 2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 Q. And which one? 4 Α. The McVeigh trial in Denver. 5 And what were you going to testify about? Q. 6 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, relevance, Your 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: Is the question what was he 9 going to testify about? 10 MR. SIPLE: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Why would that be relevant? 12 MR. SIPLE: Well, I'll rephrase the 13 question. (By Mr. Siple) Were you prepared to testify about 14 videotapes in the McVeigh trial? 15 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Did you testify? Q. Α. 18 No. 19 During the course of preparing to testify for the Q. 20 McVeigh trial did you review videotapes in evidence? 21 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor, beyond the scope of cross and relevance. 22 23 MR. SIPLE: I think his knowledge of the 24 videotapes is very much at issue and was the subject of 25 the cross.

THE COURT: Well, why would what he reviewed 1 add to anything to what he's already testified to? 2 3 MR. SIPLE: I just wanted to ask him to the extent to which he has knowledge. He reviewed these 4 5 tapes multiple times. 6 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the 7 objection. 8 MR. SIPLE: Special Agent Lamar, could I ask 9 you to look back at Exhibit 37. I'm going to try to --10 I haven't tried to do this yet, but can we do 11 side-by-side of exhibits? Also look at Exhibit 34, page 12 57. 13 MR. TRENTADUE: Which page number? 14 MR. SIPLE: It should be page 57 of Exhibit 34. 15 16 (By Mr. Siple) I'll just ask you to take a minute 0. to review and compare these two documents. 17 18 Okav. I've looked at them both. Α. 19 Having looked at them, does page 57 in Exhibit 34 Q. 20 shed any more light in terms of the answers you were 21 giving to Mr. Trentadue in response to his questioning about 37? 22 23 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, speculation, Your 24 Honor. 34.57 everything is blacked out in terms as to 25 who wrote the report.

THE COURT: There is no indication that this 1 2 witness had any involvement in the exhibits, and other 3 than comparing them based on what he can see today, I don't see that he has any percipient knowledge about 4 these documents. 5 MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. I'll attempt 6 7 to lay some additional foundation. THE COURT: 8 Sure. (By Mr. Siple) Just looking at 34, that page 57, Q. are you familiar with that document? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 How are you familiar with that document? That document would reflect what occurred between 13 Α. myself and Special Agent Lou Ann Sandstrom. 14 15 Okay. So having reviewed that document, does that document accurately reflect what occurred 16 between --17 18 THE COURT: I need more foundation. He said 19 it reflects what occurred. Did he see the document at 20 the time, was he involved in writing the document? 21 Ο. (By Mr. Siple) Special Agent Lamar, were you 22 involved in writing the Exhibit 37? 23 Α. No. 24 Okay. Do you remember this document being 25 dictated or put into the file?

A. No.

Q. Do you remember relaying any of the information for this document?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please elaborate on that.
- A. Special Agent Sandstrom maintained custody of the tape until she turned it over to me.

MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, I submit that that should be sufficient foundation to ask the question that this document allows him to add to the response.

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor. I still think it calls for speculation, and that's a comparison the court can make for itself.

THE COURT: I'm waiting for the question to determine whether or not it's relevant.

MR. SIPLE: I'll ask one more foundation question, Your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) On the 37 Exhibit, could you look at the initials on page -- I'm sorry, on Exhibit 57 -- page 57, Exhibit 34, do you recognize the initials at the bottom of the page?
- A. It's actually a redacted copy, so there are initials but they are initials of the person who transcribed it probably. CSC, is that what you're asking about?

O. Yes. That would be the LAS, the left corner of 1 2 the page underneath the 4/19/1995? 3 I see what would probably be initials and it looks like that could be initials from Special Agent Lou 4 5 Ann Sandstrom, and I see that it was probably 6 transcribed by a CSC. Having reviewed -- having reviewed page 57 of 7 0. Exhibit 34, does that allow you to better elaborate on 8 the answer that you gave when you were questioned about 10 Exhibit 37 by the plaintiff? 11 THE COURT: I don't understand what you mean 12 by "better elaborate." Are you asking him to just start 13 talking whatever comes to his mind about these 14 documents? 15 MR. SIPLE: Okay. 16 Special Agent, does this give you a better 17 understanding of the tape that is at issue or 18 potentially being discussed in Exhibit 37? 19 MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, speculation, Your 20 Honor, foundation. 21 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the 22 objection. You've got to give me some basis for him to 23 conclude that the tapes we're talking about are the same 24 or different tapes. 25 MR. SIPLE: Okay.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Agent Lamar, do you know the sergeant that is mentioned in the document?

 A. Are we talking about in 37, Sergeant Willis?

 O. Do you know Sergeant Willis?
 - A. No, I don't believe I do.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Do you know with respect to 57, the sergeant referred to there?
- A. It's redacted, so I don't know who the officers were that handed her the tape. I did at one time, but I can't remember now.
- Q. If we could move on to Exhibit 69, Plaintiff's Exhibit 69, does this document, Agent Lamar, indicate that a tape was actually taken into custody?
 - MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor. This tape wasn't even -- I think we need some foundation on this document.
- THE COURT: If you're asking him what the document says, I can read the document.
- MR. SIPLE: Okay. Well, I was relying on

 Agent Lamar's specialized knowledge with respect to --
- THE COURT: Is there some code word you
 think that's used there that indicates it was taken into
 custody?
- MR. SIPLE: We can make the argument, Your

 Honor. If I may have just one moment, I may be

finished. 1 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 MR. SIPLE: Nothing further from this 4 witness, Your Honor. 5 Any further cross? THE COURT: MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. 6 7 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lamar. be excused. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: We have another 20 minutes. 10 11 Does the FBI have another witness we could use that time 12 with? 13 MR. SIPLE: We can certainly start the witness, Your Honor. 14 15 THE COURT: Yes, start the next witness. 16 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I would renew my 17 objection and ask that the witness's testimony be 18 stricken. He was obviously contacted two weeks before 19 trial. 20 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. I'll allow you to argue the significance of what 21 22 conclusions the court should draw, if any, from it. 23 JON HERSLEY, 24 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, 25 having been first duly sworn, was examined

and testified as follows: 1 2 THE CLERK: Would you please say and spell 3 your full name for the record. 4 THE WITNESS: My name is Jon, J-o-n; last 5 name Hersley, H-e-r-s-l-e-y. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. SIPLE: Good morning Mr. Hersley. 8 Q. Α. Good morning. Special Agent Hersley, where do you currently 10 Q. reside? 11 12 I live in the Denver, Colorado area. Α. 13 Q. Are you currently employed? Yes, I am. 14 Α. And where are you employed? 15 Q. 16 I work for the National Insurance Crime Bureau. Α. 17 What does your work with the National Insurance 18 Crime Bureau entail? 19 A. We do investigations and consulting work for the 20 insurance industry. We have about a thousand insurance 21 companies that belong to our organization, which is a 22 nonprofit organization, and we kind of act as a 23 go-between, if you will, between law enforcement and the insurance industry. 2.4 25 Q. How long have you been there?

- 1 A. A little over nine years, I believe.
- Q. Prior to being with the National Insurance Crime
 Bureau, were you employed elsewhere?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

- Q. Where was that?
- A. Myself and three other retired FBI agents had a private investigation and consulting firm in downtown Oklahoma City for about five years.
- 9 Q. Prior to the private investigation firm, did you 10 have employment elsewhere?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Where was that?
- 13 A. The FBI.
- Q. And how long were you employed with the FBI?
- 15 A. A little over 30 years.
- 16 Q. Did you retire from the FBI?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. And when did you retire?
- 19 A. In the fall of 1999.
- Q. So do you remember the date you started with the
- 21 FBI?
- 22 A. Yes. It was September of 1969.
- Q. Agent Hersley, do you recall where you were assigned on April 19, 1995, the day of the Oklahoma City
- 25 bombing?

A. Yes.

- Q. And where was that?
- A. I was assigned to the FBI office there in Oklahoma City. We were in a commercial building several miles from the downtown Oklahoma City area.
- Q. Do you remember where you were when the bombing occurred?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And where was that?
 - A. My office was located on the 14th floor of that commercial building and I was at my desk there and I remember hearing or feeling the bomb when it happened.
 - Q. What happened next?
 - A. I went over to the window to look outside to see if I could see what had happened, and when I looked outside I could see in the downtown Oklahoma City area I could see the smoke billowing up from down there. I remember that we were talking and trying to figure out what happened and wondering what was going on and we were kind of speculating that it might have been some type of a major gas explosion down there. We really didn't know what happened.
 - Q. Did you have any involvement in the FBI's response to the bombing that day?
- 25 \quad A. Are you asking did I go down there or did I --

Q. Yeah, did you go down to see?

2.4

A. Not that day I didn't go down to the site.

MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I renew my objection on the basis of due process and notice.

THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) What did you do the remainder of that day, April 19th, while you were at the office?
- A. I stayed in that commercial building in the FBI office there and then started to help coordinate the investigation. The FBI was in the process of trying to get a command post set up, and once we had agents respond down there and the police department respond and we found out what had happened, that the Murrah Building had been bombed, then we started coordinating the efforts of other people that were going to be coming in from out of state, first responders, investigators, different people from out of state. And then as the investigation was beginning and started to develop at a rapid pace, then I would have helped coordinate the investigation and set leads out that needed to be conducted, and things of that nature.
- Q. Were you ever given any formal responsibilities with respect to the Oklahoma City bombing investigation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What were those responsibilities?

A. I was assigned as one of the primary -- one of the two primary investigative case agents on the case, primarily the Timothy McVeigh portion of the case, but also as in regard to how that portion of the case related to other facets of the investigation that was going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. You used the term "case agent," could you define what is a case agent?

Well, a case agent has the overall responsibility for the case in general. In this case, there were other case agents that were assigned to different facets of the investigation, if you will. So as the Timothy McVeigh case agent, once we found out that it looked like he was likely involved in the bombing, and I was assigned to be the Timothy McVeigh case agent, then what my responsibilities were was in tracking not only that part of the investigation but other parts of the investigation as well as it was unfolding to try to keep up with the investigation to help set out more leads that needed to be followed up on, to try to track the evidence, to try to track all the reports of the interviews. As you can imagine, a very hectic pace, literally hundreds of interviews that were being done at a pretty rapid pace, and we were trying to keep up with all that and tried to set up mechanisms where we could

track all that.

- Q. You used the term "keep up with the investigation," how did you keep up with the investigation?
- A. I would review evidence as it was being found. Initially, the immediate response was to try to rescue people from the building, to try to get people out of the building alive and dead, to try to get them removed from the building, and then also at the same time setting up a command post, and getting the perimeter area down there blocked off so that we could protect the crime scene, and then dividing the areas up into quadrants where we could assign search teams to start looking for evidence.
 - Q. How did you review the evidence?
- A. Any time that -- the case agents, everybody pretty much knew who we were, so any time -- any type of information that looked like it could be evidentiary would be received or a critical report or something that looked like it was going to pertain to the investigation would be -- would occur, then it would be brought to my attention and I would review it and try to follow up on it, try to make determinations as to the evidentiary value of that, and then to follow up on leads that needed to be conducted as a result of that, things of

that nature.

2.0

Q. What were some of the critical things you were looking for in the early days of the investigation?

A. We wanted obviously to see what the cause of the bomb was, so that's why we divided up the crime scene into quadrants and started having search teams begin their search, and we were looking to see if we could find what might be part of whatever the bomb vehicle was. So that was one of the initial things that we were doing. And then there were people who were reporting different sightings and stuff, so we were having those people interviewed at a rapid pace trying to keep up with that as well.

Once we started finding parts of what we eventually determined were the bomb vehicle, then we were setting leads out to follow up on that as well.

- Q. Was some of the evidence that you were looking for videotapes?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What kind of videotapes?
 - A. Any videotapes in the downtown Oklahoma City area that might have a view of this immediate area where the bomb exploded and the area right around it to see if any of those cameras captured anything that would be of any evidentiary value.

- Q. As case agent were you responsible for reviewing a videotape that had evidentiary value?
- A. Primarily there were other agents that -- the short answer to that is no. There were other agents who were assigned that immediate responsibility to review those tapes, but they were also instructed that if anything that appeared to be of any evidentiary value were found in any of those tapes to bring it to our attention immediately.
- Q. Agent Hersley, did you testify in any of the proceedings leading -- with respect to Timothy McVeigh's prosecution?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. What proceedings did you testify in?
- A. I testified at the preliminary hearing, I testified -- presented pretty much the government's case at the federal grand jury proceedings, I testified at the suppression hearings in Denver, and then I also presented the government's case at the state grand jury regarding Terry Nichols' state trial.
- Q. Would you review evidence in order to prepare for your testimony in those criminal proceedings?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Did you meet with the prosecutors, the U.S.

 Attorney's Office, the Assistant U.S. Attorneys that

were handling the case?

- A. Yes. In this case, that was a little different situation than it normally is because we were in the same command post with the prosecutors, so we would meet on a daily basis with the prosecutors and discuss the case, discuss evidence that was being determined and witnesses that were being interviewed and things of that nature. We would have regular meetings and discussions with them on a daily basis, yes.
- Q. Do you recall -- you mentioned you testified at the preliminary hearing.
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

2.0

- Q. Do you recall when that was?
- 14 A. It was on April 27th of 1995.
- 15 Q. How long was that after the bombing?
- A. It was eight days after the bombing. The bombing was on April 19th of 1995.
- Q. Can we go ahead and pull up Plaintiff's

 19 Exhibit 20.
 - A. Is that in --
- Q. I'm sorry, it should be in the blue binder.
- Could we scroll down to the second page.
- 23 A. Pardon me?
- Q. No, not you, agent.
- 25 A. Sorry.

- Q. Actually, if we could refer to -- I'm sorry, 28, Exhibit 28. It should be the transcript portion. There appears to be a transcript attached to that exhibit, do you recognize it?
 - A. In the book or in the --
- Q. It should be in the blue binder and it also should be on the screen at this point.
 - A. It's not under Exhibit 20 in the blue binder.
 - Q. I'm, sorry 28.
- A. 28. Okay. Yes, I recognize this, yes.
- 11 Q. What is this document?
- A. It is excerpts from my testimony at the preliminary hearing on April the 27th, 1995.
 - Q. You said excerpts. Does this reflect all the testimony that you provided that day?
- 16 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

14

15

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why do you say that?
 - A. I reviewed this and compared it against my actual testimony that day which consisted of probably over 100 pages, and I think this was maybe roughly 20 or 25. I also would notice in reviewing this that there would be -- even though the pages were sequentially numbered, I would notice that at the bottom of the page it wouldn't be consistent with -- wouldn't contain the full text of the testimony when it got to the next page.

Q. Okay.

2.0

2.4

A. I also notice that there were things that I testified about this day on the prelim that are not included in these excerpts.

MR. SIPLE: Okay.

If I could step away, I want to grab what's been identified as Defendant's Exhibit 252. Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

THE COURT: You may.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Agent Hersley, I've just handed you what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 252, do you recognize this document?
- A. I do.
 - Q. How do you recognize this document?
 - A. This document I recognize because it contains the full transcript of my testimony at the preliminary hearing as well as some information that was occurring between the attorneys and the judge before I began testifying.

MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, for completeness purposes, I would like to move to admit Defendant's Exhibit 252, which is all the testimony that Agent Hersley gave in that proceeding that Mr. Trentadue has filed the excerpts of.

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, Your Honor, on

relevance. I don't have any problem if he thinks there's something omitted from the transcript I submitted, that's fine, they can point to those pages and introduce them, but to let him put a hundred plus pages of testimony in the record that's not related to the videotape.

MR. SIPLE: Your Honor, I can go ahead and wait to see if Mr. Trentadue asks the witness about Exhibit 20, and I can maybe renew my motion to have -
THE COURT: Rule 106 allows you to put the entire document in evidence. I'll receive it.

(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 252 was received into evidence.)

MR. SIPLE: Now we can take the exhibit down at this point on the screen.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Agent Hersley, did you review videotapes as part of your responsibilities as the McVeigh case agent?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What videos did you review?
- A. Primarily I reviewed a video from the Regency

 Tower Apartments that was taken on the morning of the

 bombing just before the bomb blast exploded. I think

 the videotape showed a Ryder truck there. I believe the

 first -- it wasn't really a video. It was actually

these time-lapse images. It's not a continuous video.

But the first time-lapse image that I saw from that
camera, I believe, was at 8:56, if my memory's correct.

There were several time-lapse images that were taken
that morning. I believe the last one was sometime at
8:57. I reviewed that one.

And there was another video that I reviewed from the Regency Tower Apartments also that showed what we believe to be Terry Nichols' pickup going by the Regency Tower Apartments on Sunday, the Sunday before the bombing, April 16th, which was Easter Sunday. So I reviewed those two.

And I also reviewed a videotape that State Trooper Charley Hanger had taken. At some point after McVeigh's arrest, he turned his video camera on, you could see McVeigh's car in front of the trooper's car. You could see Charley in the tape a little bit going up to the car. I think he was checking the car out to see if there were things in it, maybe just trying to determine if there were some valuables in there. He obviously placed Mr. McVeigh under arrest. He wasn't going to leave the car there, like he was securing the car, also maybe looking before he left, yes. So I reviewed those three tapes I remember reviewing pretty extensively.

```
Did you go with the prosecution to Denver for the
1
       Q.
2
    McVeigh prosecution?
3
       Α.
          I'm sorry?
4
           Did you go with the prosecution team to Denver
    for the trial?
5
6
       A. I did.
                THE COURT: We're right at noon, would this
7
    be a convenient place to break?
8
                MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: We'll resume at 1:00.
11
                In terms of how we're doing on the schedule,
12
    do you expect to be able to finish today?
1.3
                MR. SIPLE: Absolutely, Your Honor.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll be in recess until
    1:00.
15
16
                (Lunch recess.)
17
                THE CLERK: Court resumes session.
18
                THE COURT: We are back in session in
19
    Trentadue v. The FBI.
20
                You may continue. Mr. Hersley, if you would
    retake the stand.
21
22
           (By Mr. Siple) Agent Hersley, I just want to make
23
    sure, I'm not sure I got this in the record, how many
24
    years were you the McVeigh case agent for the FBI's
25
    investigation?
```

- A. A little bit under three years.
- Q. You mentioned that you reviewed Regency Tower surveillance footage; is that right?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. Why did you review footage for Regency Tower?
- A. One of the other agents, specifically Walt Lamar, had told me that there was an image, or images of a Ryder truck on that video that was taken from inside the Regency Tower Apartments across Fifth Street there on the south side of the street.
- Q. And what do you recall of the footage from that tape that you reviewed?
- A. That it had those still images, there were several, I don't remember how many there were, but there were several still images of the Ryder truck parked in front of the Regency Tower Apartments on the opposite side of the street, pointing in an easterly direction.
- Q. Was the Ryder truck in any way important to your investigation?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Well, we had already -- the search teams had already started producing evidence from the search quadrants that we had assigned them to search, including a rear axel that was found in front of Regency Tower

Apartments that was blown from the area where the crater was in front of the Murrah Building, about a block and-a-half away was where that rear axel was, and we found a little partial vehicle identification number on that rear axel PVA26077. I'll always remember that I think. We used that partial vehicle identification number that was on the rear axel simply to determine what the extent of the vehicle identification number was. Each vehicle has a 17-digit vehicle identification number, and we wanted to find out what that 17 digit was. So we called the people in the National Insurance Crime Bureau and asked them if they could help us determine what the full VIN was.

2.4

A vehicle identification number is abbreviated and referred to as a VIN number. That's the number that you see in the dash of your vehicle, most vehicles have it in the dash, but also different components on vehicles that have a partial vehicle identification number. And if you know that partial vehicle identification number, you can determine what the full VIN is, and once you determine what the full VIN is, those characters, each one of them means something that enables you to tell exactly what the vehicle is and who the manufacturer is.

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, narrative and

relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: So we found that and we found the rear -- what turned out to be the rear bumper to the Ryder truck, and we eventually found the key for that Ryder truck. So finding the images on that video camera approximately a block away from the Murrah Building was very important to us.

- Q. (By Mr. Siple) Now, as the case agent, was it your responsibility to review evidence relevant to the Ryder truck?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, were agents working on the OKBOMB investigation expected to bring items of -- concerning the Ryder truck, items of evidence concerning the Ryder truck to your attention?
- A. Yes, absolutely.
 - Q. Why do you say that?
 - A. Well, it was part of our investigation and we started to focus on what we believed was the bomb truck. Once we found those parts, the parts were kind of twisted, and the way that they were mangled and twisted made us believe that it was part of the bomb truck, and so that became very important to us.
 - Q. Special Agent Hersley, during all your years as

case agent did you ever review any tapes showing the Ryder truck -- showing Ryder truck footage of the bomb detonation of the Ryder truck in the vicinity of the Murrah Building? No, there was no such film. During all your years as case agent, Agent Hersley, did you ever come across any information to suggest to you that the FBI might have taken custody of such a film showing the Ryder truck bomb detonation in front of the Murrah Building? Α. No. MR. SIPLE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Cross-examination? MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TRENTADUE: Q. Mr. Hersley, I believe you testified that the Regency Tower Apartment Building was about a block from the Murrah Building? Α. Yes. Ο. What street was the Regency on? Α. Fifth Street. And you say the Murrah Building was about a block east of Regency Tower? A. Yes, roughly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

O. Now, you've testified about having given your 1 testimony at the McVeigh preliminary hearing. 2 3 Α. Yes. If you will look at Exhibit 28 please in the blue 4 5 binder. 6 Α. Okay, I have that. 7 Beg your pardon? Q. 8 I have that in front of me. Α. 9 You were sworn in that when you gave that Q. 10 testimony, weren't you? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. The same oath you took here today. 13 Α. Yes. 14 I want to review with you some of the testimony Q. you gave. If you would turn to -- if you'll see that 15 the tops of the pages where the transcript is, it says 16 page 1 of 1 beginning with the caption. 17 One of one? 18 Α. 19 One of 17, excuse me. Q. 20 Α. Yes, I see that. 21 Okay. If you would go to page 2 for me, please. Q. 22 Α. Okay. 23 Q. At the bottom of the page is where you're sworn in? 24 25 Α. Yes.

You asked to give your name, your occupation 1 2 and -- the question is, What is your occupation? 3 What's your answer. 4 Α. I'm an FBI agent. 5 I'll read the questions, sir, if you'll just read 0. 6 the answers. How long have you been an FBI agent? 7 Α. About 20 years. Where were you assigned? 8 Q. Α. At the Oklahoma City Office of the FBI. On the next page, Have you had responsibilities 10 Q. in connection with the investigation of the explosion of 11 12 the Murrah Building? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And then if you would turn to the next page, 0. which is 4. 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 This is where Mr. Coyle starts his 18 cross-examination of you, correct, sir? 19 Yes. Α. 20 Q. And the next page over. 21 Α. Page 5? 22 Q. Yes. 23 Α. Okay. And you're asked the question -- why don't you 24 Q. 25 look at the questions I've highlighted. And the

question says, Now, can you tell us please, sir, what your role has been in the investigation. What was your answer, sir?

2.4

- A. Yes, I have been assisting in the investigation in regard to Mr. McVeigh. I have also been participating in the ongoing investigation in an attempt to further identify and locate other individuals who may have been involved in the bombing on April the 19th, 1995.
- Q. Turn to the next page, sir. Question, So your job is to just take a look and keep track of all the evidence and then you assign other FBI agents out to do other tasks or to make the calls?
- A. There are other agents that are assigned to the same types of duties that I am there in regard to other potential individuals that were involved in the bombing. I also coordinate with those individuals insofar as information that is received regarding Mr. McVeigh, in an effort to identify some of the other subjects that were involved in the bombing here in Oklahoma City. That's our primary focus right now is to try to determine the identity and the location of other subjects so that we can prohibit another bomb from going off.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the name Andreas

Strassmeir? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. Was he one of the other subjects? He never rose to the level of being a subject. 4 Α. 5 He was a person of interest at one point in time, yes. 6 Q. Are you familiar with the name Steven Colbern? 7 Α. Yes. Was he one of your subjects? 8 Q. He was not a subject, no. Α. MR. SIPLE: Objection, relevance. 10 sure what subjects has to do with films. 11 12 MR. TRENTADUE: It would save me from having 13 to call him back on my case, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. (By Mr. Trentadue) Are you familiar with the 15 Q. Midwest Bank Robbery Gang? 16 17 Somewhat, yes. Α. 18 Are you familiar with members of the Midwest 0. Robbery Gang? 19 2.0 Α. Yes. Were any of those members subjects of your 21 Q. 22 investigation? 23 MR. SIPLE: Objection, relevance. sure what this has to do with a FOIA search, and if 24 25 Mr. Trentadue would like to recall Mr. Hersley, I don't

think it's relevant in any event in any case. 1 2 THE COURT: I'm going to let him see if he 3 can connect it. Q. (By Mr. Trentadue) Finally, my last question, are 4 5 you familiar with the operation known as PATCON? Α. No. 6 7 Q. Would you turn to the next page, please. Page 7? 8 Α. Q. Yes. 10 Α. Yes. Q. Question, So your job is just to -- excuse me. 11 That's all I want. 12 13 You were asked, Now, is there any pictorial or visual recording of the vehicle parked over the 14 crater, the site, what you say is the site of the 15 explosion? 16 17 A. I don't believe there is a picture actually where 18 that crater is. There are other pictures of the Ryder truck on that street. 19 20 Q. Question, Where is the Ryder truck located at the time of the pictures? 21 22 A. Heading east on Fifth Street towards that 23 location. 24 Q. Question, It's shown heading east? 25 A. Yes.

Question, So you say there is film available that 1 Q. 2 shows a Ryder truck in an easterly direction that is 3 traveling in an easterly direction on Fifth Street? Α. Yes. And is it past the street that we now know -- we 5 0. 6 know as Harvey? 7 A. I'm not -- I have not studied that film in detail. It's in that general vicinity right in there. 8 It may be the video that I saw. I believe it is just 10 before -- well, I'm not sure. I better not say that. 11 Q. At the bottom of the page you're asked, Are the 12 photographs that you saw or is it still photo or film? 13 Α. What I saw was the still photos. Is it a still photo that has been removed from a 14 0. film? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 So it was a close-up more of the truck than its location? 18 19 I'm sorry, I'm not sure where you're at now. Α. 20 Q. It would be on page 12 -- page 9, next page. 21 Α. Okay. 22 The first question at the top of the page, So it Q. 23 was a close-up more of the truck than its location?

It wasn't a close-up photo. It was taken from a

camera off one of the buildings in the vicinity.

24

25

Α.

- Q. And you say taken from a camera off one of the buildings.
 - A. I'm sorry, is that a question?
 - Q. Yes, sir. That was the testimony you gave.
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you.

You were next asked, Did you make a determination what building it came off of?

- A. No, I did not myself.
- Q. Question, Did anyone?
- A. I believe one of the other agents was able to
 determine that it came from one -- one of the films came
 from the Regency Tower Apartments.
- Q. Can you tell in the photograph who was driving the truck?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And is the truck parked or is it moving?
 - A. As I mentioned, I saw the still photographs of the picture of the footage was frozen at this time when the still photograph was made, but I believe the truck, due to the continuation of the still photographs, that the truck was moving in an easterly direction.
 - Q. Please move to page 10 now, sir. Question at the top of the page, What was the size of the truck that you saw in the photographs?

- A. I could not tell myself exactly what the size was from the photograph that I saw, but I would estimate it from what I saw to be approximately 20 feet.
- Q. Question, Was there a time indicated on the picture of the film that you saw?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. Can you tell us please, sir, what that time was?
- A. It was in the proximity of 9:00 a.m. As I mentioned, I did not study the photographs in great detail, and I don't know the exact time on the photographs, but it was in the proximity of 9:00 a.m.
- Q. Question, Can you tell us when you viewed those photographs please, sir?
 - A. I believe within the last two to three days.
 - Q. Look at page 11 now, sir. Go to page 12, please. Question in the middle of the page, I believe you told us in a previous answer that there is no visual or pictorial record of the vehicle parked over the site where you say it exploded in front of the Murrah Building.
 - A. Not that I have seen. I have not viewed all of the film of that location on that morning, so I can't speak to that entirely, but I have not seen it.
- Q. Turn to page 13, sir. Question in the middle of

the page is, Well, how did you see the ones that you have testified about earlier, did you happen to see them laying on a desk and look at them, or did someone bring them to your attention, how did you see those?

- A. One of the agents that have been tasked with those responsibilities showed me those photographs.
- Q. At the bottom of the page there's a question and your answer as to who that agent was, and what's that answer, sir, starting with agent?
- A. The agent that showed me the photographs was Walt Lamar. Is that what you're talking about?
- Q. Yes, sir.

The next page, which is page 14.

A. Yes.

1.3

2.0

- Q. You were asked at the top of the page, Is he the one that you inquired of as to whether or not there were any photographs as to the accused, Timothy McVeigh, in possession of the government at or about the Ryder truck, you asked him that question, I assume, did you?
- A. I did not inquire of Agent Lamar about these photographs. He brought it to my attention because there is a possibility of a particular car being involved in one of those photographs that he was showing me. We are continuing the investigation to try to determine the actual identity of that car.

- Q. If you would turn to the next page, which is page 15. Now, you have in front of you, don't you, sir, your deposition?
 - A. I'm not sure what you're referring to now.
- Q. If you would look at the one that Mr. Siple gave you, Exhibit 252.
- A. Okay. That's actually my transcript of my preliminary hearing.
 - Q. Preliminary hearing.
- A. Yes.

- Q. I apologize. When we look at page 15 there has been a part cut off from the preceding page. If you would look at page 96 of your preliminary hearing transcript testimony.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. You were asked a question beginning on line 17, In your review of the surveillance photos, did you find any surveillance photos of that parking lot across the street from the Murrah Building?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay.
- Your answer responding to the okay question.
- A. You characterized that as my review of the
 photographs. I was shown those particular photographs
 by Agent Lamar.

- Q. Have you been shown a photograph of that particular parking lot, sir, across the street from the Murrah Building that includes the speeding Mercury in the photograph?
- A. I don't know for sure yet. Those photographs are not real clear. They are taken from a pretty good distance away. There appears to be a light-colored car in the very vicinity where this witness testifies -- or provides the information was speeding away from. We are not able to determine yet if that is in fact the yellow Mercury.
- Q. If you'll look back on the screen, go back to Exhibit 28 where we pick up with the rest of the questioning and answering on this subject.
 - A. What page are we on now?
- Q. If you would look back on the screen now, it would be --
 - A. Okay.

- Q. And the question is, Can you clearly tell in the photographs that you have seen, or have you been advised that you can clearly tell in the photograph the time of the explosion?
- A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
- Q. Well, I don't know how to -- can you tell in the film or the photographs when the explosion occurs?

- A. The film that I viewed was before the explosion.

 I did not view the entire film. The pictures that were shown to me were before the explosion.
- Q. The pictures that you saw of that particular parking lot -- now I'm talking about the parking lot across the street from the Murrah Building --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- in a northerly direction, that parking lot, there's a film of that parking lot prior to the time of the explosion?
- 11 A. Yes.

- Q. Correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. If you would turn to page 16, sir. You were asked, Can you tell where that particular camera was located?
 - A. I believe that particular camera was located on the apartment building there that we have been speaking of.
 - Q. So those were some pictures from the Regency.
 - A. Yes, those are the pictures from the Regency.
 - Q. So the camera from the Regency -- is this the camera at the very top of the Regency Tower?
 - A. I don't know the exact location of the camera, but it kind of scans the whole area there, is what has

been represented to me. It scans in front of the Tower 1 2 building and also over towards the parking lot. 3 MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your 4 Honor. 5 THE COURT: Any redirect? MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. SIPLE: 8 Agent Hersley, when you gave your testimony at Q. this preliminary hearing, how many days into the 10 11 Oklahoma City bombing investigation were you at that 12 point in time? 13 Α. Eight days. And how hard were you working on the 14 0. investigation at that point in time? 15 16 Α. About 16 to 20 hours a day. 17 And would it be strange in your view that eight 18 days into a major investigation you would still be looking for other suspects and other possible leads? 19 2.0 Α. No. 21 Q. You mentioned you were the case agent for how 22 many years? 23 A. A little under three years. 24 Q. Over that -- as the investigation progressed over 25 those three years, did you become more familiar with the

evidence? 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 3 Q. Did you have more opportunities to review the evidence? 4 5 Very much so. Α. 6 Did you review the evidence each and every time 7 before you testified? 8 Α. Yes. Q. Later times? 10 Yes. I reviewed the evidence on a daily basis. Α. 11 At the time you testified at this preliminary Q. hearing had you had an opportunity to fully review the 12 Regency Tower film? 13 14 Would you say that again. At the time you went into this preliminary 15 Q. hearing had you had an opportunity at that time to 16 review all the Regency Tower footage? 17 18 Α. No. 19 Did you later have an opportunity to review the Q. 20 Regency Tower footage in more detail? 21 Α. Yes. 22 I would like you to turn back to Exhibit 20 which 23 the plaintiff was questioning you about. Α. 20? 2.4 25 Ο. Yes.

A. Or 28.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. It's in the blue binder, Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, and look at page 8.
 - A. There is not a page 8 on Exhibit 20.
- Q. I'm sorry, 28, I'm sorry. I keep referring to it as 20.
- A. Page 8?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Now, having had the benefit of three years as

 case agent on the investigation to review the evidence,

 are you now aware of what you were referring to on page

 the plaintiff talked to you about starting at about -
 well, the lines aren't marked here, where you say, Yes,

 I've been assisting in the investigation, and the video

 that's referred to on page 8.
- A. I don't see where it says, Yes, I've been assisting in the investigation on page 8.
 - Q. I'm sorry. I'm on page -- let's go to the bottom of page 8.
- 21 A. Okay.
 - Q. Try to get my mind back here. You were asked about the video referred to here by the plaintiff. Now having had the benefit of reviewing all the -- having more time over the years to review additional -- the

evidence, do you now know --1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Leading, Your Honor, and I 3 don't think you can impeach your own witness. MR. SIPLE: I'm not attempting to impeach 4 5 I'm just saying, having had the opportunity now 6 to review the evidence more fully --7 THE COURT: I'm not sure what you're asking him about because the bottom of page 8 refers to 8 photographs, still photographs. (By Mr. Siple) Do you now know, having reviewed 10 11 all the evidence where the photos that you were 12 referring to were taken from? 13 Α. Yes. Where were those photos taken from? 14 Q. 15 Inside the Regency Tower Apartments. Α. Thank you. 16 Q. 17 Α. I should say shooting out towards Fifth Street. 18 You were asked about footage of a parking lot Ο. from the Regency Tower Apartments. 19 2.0 Α. Yes. Now having had the opportunity of three years as 21 Ο. 22 the case agent to have reviewed the evidence, do you 23 have a better understanding of that footage? 2.4 Α. Yes. 25 Q. And where did that footage come from?

There was two footages that I was actually Α. inaccurately quoted from that day. One was the footage off the Regency Tower Apartments shooting out. Walt Lamar had told me about a possible light-colored vehicle pulling out of the parking lot there at the Regency Tower Apartments. Then there was another picture that I had been shown that was across the street from the Murrah Building and the Regency Tower -- I mean the General Records Building Parking lot, but I determined after my testimony that that photograph had actually been taken after the bombing. Q. At day eight in the investigation, eight days

after the bombing, what capability did you have at that point to exploit and enhance these tapes?

MR. TRENTADUE: Objection, foundation.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- (By Mr. Siple) At that point in the Q. investigation, had you had an opportunity to fully review all the tapes that might be of evidentiary value?
 - Α. At the time of the prelim?
 - 0. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Α. No.
- Q. The plaintiff asked you about information, in your testimony in Exhibit 28 you talked about getting information from other agents.

A. Yes.

Q. In a major investigation is that unusual to rely on information that you receive from other agents?

- A. No, not at all.
- Q. Why not?
- A. There's just such an expansive, exhaustive investigation going on, it would be impossible to do all the investigation yourself, so you assign other agents to specific tasks and to general categories of things to do, such as trying to gather all of the films in the downtown Oklahoma City area around the Murrah building because you can't do everything yourself, so you assign other agents to do that, and then they report back to you what the results of their search is or what the results of their leads that you assign them, and that's very normal in an investigation like this.
- Q. And having had the opportunity to now review this preliminary hearing transcript, does it lead you to believe that there was any other footage from the Regency Tower showing a Ryder truck other than what you've previously testified to?

A. No.

MR. SIPLE: One moment, Your Honor. No further questions, Your Honor.

MR. TRENTADUE: No rebuttal, Your Honor.

Mr. Hersley, you may step down. 1 THE COURT: 2 You may be excused. 3 The FBI may call its next witness. 4 MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor, the FBI calls 5 Special Agent retired Larry Tongate. 6 LARRY TONGATE, 7 called as a witness at the request of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined 8 9 and testified as follows: 10 THE CLERK: Could you please say your full 11 name and spell it for the record. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. Larry G. Tongate, 13 T-o-n-g-a-t-e. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIPLE: 15 16 Good afternoon Special Agent Tongate. 0. Α. Good afternoon. 17 18 Just a couple of introductory questions, where do Ο. you currently reside? 19 2.0 Α. In Branson West, Missouri. 21 0. Are you currently employed? No. I'm retired. 22 Α. 23 Q. And where are you retired from? 24 Well, my initial career was with the FBI. 25 retired from the FBI for almost 30 years, in October of

2000, and then I took a position with Midwest HIDTA,
which stands for High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area.
I was deputy director of that until May 31, 2013.

- Q. So just to clarify, when did you start with the FBI?
 - A. In 1971.

4

5

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

- Q. And do you remember the year you retired -- you retired in 2000?
 - A. Yes, 2000, October 2000.
- Q. Special Agent Tongate, do you recall where you were assigned on April 19, 1995, the date of the Oklahoma City bombing?
- A. Yes. I was assigned to the FBI in Kansas City,

 Missouri.
- Q. Do you remember what you were doing specifically when you learned of the bombing?
 - A. Yes. I was at the United States Attorney's

 Office preparing for a trial that was to begin the

 following week and which it came up -- the bombing came

 up on the news media there at the U.S. Attorney's

 Office.
- Q. Did the FBI assign you any responsibilities to the Oklahoma City bombing?
- A. Initially, the Kansas City FBI office sent a team
 of agents to Oklahoma City. Because I was preparing for

trial I was not one of those. Eventually, by that afternoon leads began coming in to the Kansas City Division specifically relating to the rental of the Ryder truck in Junction City, Kansas. More agents were dispatched out to Junction City. I eventually joined them and ultimately became case agent for the Kansas City Division during the investigation in Kansas.

2.0

- Q. Okay. If I could just back up a minute. Why were you assigned to work the Oklahoma City bombing out of Kansas City?
- A. Well, all of the agents in Kansas City at one time or another worked on the bombing and/or during the initial stages. Eventually I was given the assignment as the case agent representing Kansas City.

 Subsequently, when they put together the trial team and the case was moved to Denver, Colorado for trial, I was picked as the Kansas City agent, one of the two investigative case agents, for the overall case, myself and John Hersley were the other case agent.
 - Q. This is for the McVeigh prosecution?
 - A. McVeigh and Nichols prosecution. I was at both.
 - Q. Did you testify at any of the proceedings for the McVeigh or Nichols trial?
 - A. I testified in both federal trials, in McVeigh and Nichols, as well as the state trial in Oklahoma.

- Q. I would like for you -- if you could describe in terms of being the case agent, what your responsibility or role was as the case agent with respect to the McVeigh and Nichols prosecutions.
- A. Well, the overall job as a case agent is to obviously identify culprits and bring forth the evidence that would lead to a conviction for those that you identified as the individuals who perpetrated this crime. And from that period on you kind of oversaw all the information that was developed, and there were obviously thousands of pieces of paper that was developed and interviews that were conducted, sort through the majority of that, and put together a prosecutable case, sit down and work with the Department of Justice Attorneys and the U.S. Attorneys, Assistant United States Attorneys, and get the matter ready for preparation and court.
- Q. Does the case agent personally review evidence of evidentiary value for the prosecution?
 - A. Absolutely.

2.0

- Q. In what way do you stay informed about the evidence as case agent?
- A. Well, there are a number of ways. This investigation obviously took place in a number of field divisions within the FBI. And specifically in the

Kansas City office, once I was declared the case agent, agents would bring forth material to me or paperwork would flow to me that had evidentiary value or need potential, need further developing, and that I would review and then ultimately furnish to the prosecution until we ultimately put together the case.

- Q. You mentioned that agents would bring material to you, was the expectation that they should bring evidence to you?
- Absolutely, if it was -- certainly, if it was pertinent to the investigation.
- 12 Ο. And how many years were you a case agent in the OKBOMB case? 13
 - A little over two years. Α.
 - During your time, during those two years as a Q. case agent, did you have a chance to review any surveillance videos?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

2.0

21

22

24

- 19 Q. And please --
 - MR. TRENTADUE: I renew my objection, Your Honor, based on due process and relevance to this witness testifying.
- THE COURT: The objection is overruled for 23 the reasons stated before.
 - Q. (By Mr. Siple) Special Agent Tongate, could you

please tell the court what videos you reviewed.

A. I reviewed a number of videos, including some that were -- that occurred up in Kansas, for instance, a video of Timothy McVeigh exiting a McDonald's restaurant in Junction City just prior to the Ryder truck being rented. I also became aware and was made aware and reviewed the videotapes that came out of the Regency Tower depicting a Ryder truck just prior to the bombing on April 19th.

- Q. Could you describe in more detail the footage you reviewed from the Regency Tower.
- A. Sure. It was from a camera that was inside the lobby of the Regency Tower and showed the lobby area and then outside. And across the street you could clearly see a truck that was marked Ryder on the truck. You couldn't tell anything about who was in the truck or who was driving it or any persons, but you clearly knew it was a Ryder truck because it said Ryder on the side of it. And that was approximately 8:56, 8:57, I can't recall exactly, but in that timeframe, was only there for a short period of time, and it was only a few hundred yards from the Murrah Building facing in the direction of the Murrah Building.
- Q. Was the Ryder truck of significance to the investigation?

- A. Absolutely. You know, prior to the investigation it had been determined a rear axel that was actually found embedded on top of a Ford Fiesta in front of the Regency Tower, and through further investigation determined that to be the truck that was utilized in the bombing. It was traced back to being rented in Junction City, Kansas, through Eldon Elliot's Body Shop, and a lot of work was done in Kansas in response to that.
- Q. Other than the footage from the lobby of the Regency Tower which you mentioned showed the Ryder truck in Oklahoma City, was there any other footage you reviewed showing the Ryder truck?
 - A. None.

2.4

- Q. During all your years as case agent did you review any tapes showing a Ryder truck or a truck of any kind pulling up to the Murrah Building and detonating, a bomb detonation?
- A. No, there was none. There were no videos of the truck at the Murrah Building at the time of the explosion. The only tapes that existed were those out of the Regency Tower just prior to the bombing.
- Q. Just because you mentioned tapes, the only image from the Regency Tower is the one you testified to earlier?
- A. Excuse me, yes, the image.

Q. Well, I'll just say footage. 1 Agent Tongate, during your time as case 2 3 agent did you ever receive any information that led you to believe that the FBI had taken into evidence any type 4 5 of video showing a Ryder truck detonation? 6 Α. No, there was none. 7 MR. SIPLE: Thank you. THE COURT: Cross-examination? 8 9 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TRENTADUE: 11 12 Q. Agent Tongate, when were you first contacted about testifying at this trial? 13 14 Oh, I don't recall the exact date, but a couple 15 of weeks ago, two weeks ago maybe. 16 MR. TRENTADUE: No further questions, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: Any redirect? 19 MR. SIPLE: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down, 21 and you're excused. 22 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I renew my 23 motion to strike the testimony of Agent Tongate and 24 Agent Hersley because of having been contacted weeks

25

before the trial.

```
THE COURT: The motion is denied. You can
1
2
    argue the significance of that at a later time.
3
                Does the FBI have any additional witnesses
    to call?
4
5
                MR. SIPLE: No, Your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT: Does the FBI rest?
7
                MS. MYER: We rest, Your Honor, and again
    move for judgment on the evidence that has been
8
    submitted under Rule 52.
                THE COURT: I'll take the motion under
10
11
    advisement.
12
                Anything further from the plaintiff,
    Mr. Trentadue?
13
14
                MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, if I can have
    about five minutes to -- a few minutes to look over my
15
    notes, I may be through.
16
17
                THE COURT: All right. Let's take about a
    15-minute break.
18
19
                (Recess.)
20
                THE CLERK: Court resumes session.
21
                THE COURT: We are back in session in
    Trentadue v. The FBI.
22
23
                Anything further, Mr. Trentadue?
                MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, Your Honor. I would
24
25
    offer Exhibit 68, which has been testified to
```

extensively. 1 2 THE COURT: Exhibit 68, let me make sure 3 I've got the right one. Any objection? MR. SIPLE: I'm just trying to locate it, 4 5 I'm sorry. 6 THE CLERK: On 68 I've got that as already 7 being entered. MR. TRENTADUE: Is 69 entered too? 8 9 THE CLERK: Yes. MR. TRENTADUE: And Exhibit 50, which is the 10 11 media bypass article, Mr. Hardy -- is in the record in 12 this case that shows the filing -- Mr. Hardy testified 13 he reviewed everything in the record in the case as 14 additional --MS. WYER: Objection, Your Honor. 15 Mr. Hardy reviewed something that was filed on the 16 17 docket to prepare for trial, that doesn't have anything 18 to do with the adequacy of the search that was 19 conducted. 2.0 THE COURT: What's your response? 21 MR. TRENTADUE: Well, Your Honor, if they 22 can bring in witnesses this late to testify about things 23 outside the scope of the FOIA search, then certainly that should be admissible to show that Mr. Hardy was 24 25 aware of that evidence.

THE COURT: And it's being offered for the 1 2 purpose of showing --3 MR. TRENTADUE: His knowledge of it. THE COURT: Did he testify that he knew 4 about this article? 5 6 MR. TRENTADUE: He said he reviewed 7 everything in the record in the case, he said he reviewed all the files. 8 MS. WYER: Your Honor, he didn't say that he 10 reviewed it before the search was done. 11 THE COURT: Where does this appear in the 12 record? 13 MR. TRENTADUE: It will show on Exhibit 50, Your Honor, if you turn to the second page you can see 14 the filing, it was back in 2012 I think. 15 16 MS. WYER: Your Honor, 2012 was four years 17 after the request was submitted, and this was something 18 that was filed on the docket in the course of summary 19 judgment proceedings. 20 THE COURT: Well, I don't think there's 21 sufficient evidence to show that Mr. Hardy in fact 22 reviewed this document, so it will be -- the objection's 23 sustained. 24 MR. TRENTADUE: With that, I have no other 25 evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And the plaintiff rests? 1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: I would like -- yes. 3 would like to proffer before I do what Mr. Matthews' testimony would have been I believe for the record. 4 THE COURT: Go ahead. 5 Mr. Matthews would have MR. TRENTADUE: 6 7 testified that he had been an undercover operative for the FBI in an operation known as Patriot Conspiracy, or 8 PATCON, that extended throughout the 1990s, and he would 10 have testified about his connection with Andreas 11 Strassmeir and Timothy McVeigh through PATCON. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Does the FBI counsel have 13 any further report as to what the contact, if any, was 14 with Mr. Matthews? MS. WYER: Your Honor, we were able to 15 contact the local FBI field office here in Salt Lake 16 17 City and we learned that Mr. Matthews did contact agents 18 in that office, from what I understand, two to three weeks ago, and asked them if they knew of any way that 19 2.0 he could avoid complying with the subpoena that the 21 plaintiff had issued because he did not want to testify 22 in this case, and that's essentially the substance of 23 the contact that occurred. 2.4 THE COURT: How did they respond to his 25 question?

They said that as far as they 1 MS. WYER: 2 knew a valid subpoena would have to be complied with. 3 THE COURT: And who was the agent that spoke with Mr. Matthews? 4 There were, I believe, at least 5 MS. WYER: two agents that spoke with him -- or one agent, Agent 6 7 Adam Quirk. THE COURT: Any further questions, 8 9 Mr. Trentadue? 10 MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, considerable questions, 11 Your Honor. Mr. Matthews was not subpoenaed. He was 12 going to voluntarily testify. I spoke with Mr. Matthews 13 this morning. He says after the government lost their motion in limine to keep him from testifying about the 14 15 middle of the month, he was contacted. It was suggested to him that it would be best for everyone if he didn't 16 testify; it was suggested to him that he take a 17 18 vacation; it was suggested to him that if he did 19 testify, he should have a bad case of I don't remembers; 20 and from his work with the FBI undercover he informed me 21 that he took that as a very serious threat. They told 22 him -- assured him, Agent Adam Quirk -- they called him 23 repeatedly -- that they would inform the court and would 2.4 inform me last week that he wasn't going to testify.

THE COURT: Did he provide any further

25

detail as to who the contact person was so that we can 1 2 ask the FBI to follow up on this? MR. TRENTADUE: He mentioned Adam Quirk. 3 Ι had not actually known there was a second agent. 4 MS. WYER: Your Honor, I was misstating that. I'm relying on agency counsel, and she corrected 6 7 me on that point. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any further 9 information pursuant to what Mr. Trentadue has represented to the court? 10 11 MS. WYER: I do not believe that 12 Mr. Trentadue's representation is accurate because the 13 agent represented to the contrary, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue, is there anything 15 you would like me to do to pursue this further? MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, I think they're 16 officers of the court. I mean we go ask the agents, 17 18 they say, oh, no, we never did that. You ask the guy 19 who doesn't come and testify and he says, I better not 20 come and testify, it would be best for everyone if you 21 don't testify. And Mr. Matthews said he didn't call 22 them, they called him repeatedly. 23 THE COURT: And the agent involved's name is 24 Adam Quirk? 25 MR. TRENTADUE: Ouirk.

THE COURT: Where is he located? 1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Mr. Matthews said he's here 3 in Salt Lake City. But I didn't know -- what is the 4 second agent? MS. WYER: Your Honor, I have already said 6 that I misstated that and agency counsel corrected me. 7 There was only Agent Adam Quirk. 8 MR. TRENTADUE: And there was no subpoena, 9 Your Honor. Mr. Matthews was -- in fact, if the court 10 recalls, I expressed concern about --11 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Quirk's within the 12 jurisdiction of the court. How would you like me to 13 proceed? MR. TRENTADUE: I think we should have him 14 in here and talk to him. 15 MS. WYER: Your Honor, this is based on 16 17 some -- another wild theory of the plaintiff. There's 18 no reason to believe that in a FOIA case where our 19 understanding was that Mr. Matthews was going to say 20 something completely irrelevant about records, we didn't know exactly what, that there would be --21 22 THE COURT: The issue at this point goes 23 beyond that. The issue at this point is whether or not 24 there was direct interference. If the representation 25 Mr. Trentadue has made is true, then it's tampering and

encouragement of the witness not to appear. 1 2 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, Mr. Matthews 3 said he was called. That should be a simple matter to determine from the phone records. Why would 4 5 Mr. Matthews call -- his contact, his handler was out of 6 Arizona, not Utah. 7 THE COURT: This is a sufficiently serious accusation that I think we should follow up on it. I 8 think the agent should be brought in, Mr. Trentadue 10 should be allowed to cross-examine him, and find out 11 exactly what he did. MS. WYER: Well, Your Honor, we would ask 12 13 that Mr. Matthews be brought in to be cross-examined also about --14 15 THE COURT: If you want to subpoena 16 Mr. Matthews, you can. 17 MS. WYER: Well, we don't know where to find 18 Mr. Matthews, Your Honor. 19 MR. TRENTADUE: He got called, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Apparently Mr. Matthews --Mr. Ouirk knew how to find him. 21 22 MS. WYER: Mr. Matthews is the one that 23 contacted Agent Quirk, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Well, we have a dispute about 25 that. So I think that the first step in this is we ask

Mr. Quirk to come in, subject him to cross-examination, 1 and we see where that takes us. If the FBI wants to put on additional evidence, I'll consider it at that point, but I don't think we can just leave this where it is. It's too serious of an accusation. We need to get to 5 the bottom of what exactly happened. 6 MR. TRENTADUE: Your Honor, it's a simple matter. As I say, they should produce the phone records too. If in fact it shows that Mr. Matthews was calling him, that's a different story, but if in fact it shows the agent repeatedly calling Mr. Matthews, that's the 11 12 smoking gun. 13 THE COURT: Let's set a date for Mr. Quirk 14 to come in and testify. MS. WYER: Your Honor, we would ask that Mr. Quirk, or Agent Quirk, simply submit a declaration. 16 THE COURT: He's got to be subject to 18 cross-examination. The accusation is too serious to be dealt with without cross-examination. 19 MS. WYER: Your Honor, I've never been in contact with Agent Quirk and I do not know what his 22 schedule is, so I would ask that we be able to address 23 the scheduling of any such matter at a later time. THE COURT: We're going to set the schedule. If there in fact is good cause to continue it to a

2

3

4

7

8

10

15

17

20

21

24

```
different day, you can raise that as an issue. But at
1
    this point, Mr. Quirk's involvement is such that there
2
3
    needs to be -- he may have to inconvenience himself to
4
    be here. So let's pick out a date in the afternoon
5
    about ten days out. Adriane, can you give us a date.
6
                MR. TRENTADUE: Can we go a little further
7
    than ten, Your Honor? I'm totally out of state until
    August the 10th.
8
9
                THE COURT: Okay. Sometime after
10
    August 10th.
11
                THE CLERK: Okay. And you're out the week
12
    of August 18th.
13
                MR. TRENTADUE: The end of the week would be
    better probably.
14
                THE CLERK: The week of the 25th because the
15
    judge is of town the 18th through the 22nd.
16
17
                MR. TRENTADUE: The 25th would work.
18
                THE COURT: Sometime the week of the 25th.
19
                MR. WYER: Your Honor, I would ask the
20
    plaintiff to agree to an extension in another case that
21
    we have together if it's going to be set on that date in
22
    that time period.
23
                MR. TRENTADUE: I have no problem with
24
    granting extensions, Your Honor.
25
                THE CLERK: We can do it Monday,
```

August 25th, at 11:00. 1 MR. TRENTADUE: That would work. 2 3 THE COURT: Does that work for the FBI? MS. WYER: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Now, will the FBI produce 5 6 Mr. Quirk, or do we need to have a subpoena issued? 7 MS. WYER: As long as Agent Quirk doesn't indicate a scheduling conflict, in which case we would 8 alert the court and try to reschedule, I assume that --10 THE COURT: I'll take it that Mr. Quirk will 11 appear. And if there is a problem with the date, we can 12 work that out. 13 Anything further from counsel on either side 14 before we conclude the evidentiary hearing? 15 MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. The time on the 16 25th? 17 THE CLERK: 11:00. 18 MR. TRENTADUE: 11:00, thank you. 19 THE COURT: Now, I'm going to request from 20 each counsel, from parties -- from each party, one, a 21 proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, and a 22 concluding brief. Once I've received those, I'll then 23 set a date for oral argument. 24 I assume you want the transcript before you 25 prepare the proposed findings and final brief.

```
1
                MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir.
2
                MR. SIPLE: Yes, Your Honor.
3
                THE COURT: Karen, can you give us a date
4
    for the transcript.
5
                 (The reporter and the court agreed on
                 September 2, 2014, for completion of the
 6
7
                 transcript.)
                THE COURT: Since the FBI has the burden of
8
9
    proof, it may be most helpful to have the FBI submit
10
    their proposed findings and closing brief. How much
11
    time after September 2nd does the FBI want to file their
12
    documents?
13
                MS. WYER: We would ask for 30 days, Your
14
    Honor.
15
                THE COURT: That would take us to
16
    September 29th, does that work? It's not exactly 30
17
    days, but it's close.
18
                MS. WYER:
                           I believe so, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: Mr. Trentadue, how long do you
20
    want for a response?
21
                MR. TRENTADUE: 30 days, Your Honor.
22
                THE COURT: That would take us to -- I don't
23
    have my October calendar up, but let's fix a specific
    date.
2.4
25
                THE CLERK: It would be October 26th.
```

THE COURT: Would that work? MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Then once I get the briefing and I've had a chance to look at it, then I'll set a time for oral argument, but it will be within a couple of weeks after that. MR. TRENTADUE: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Anything further we need to address before we recess? MR. TRENTADUE: No, sir. THE COURT: Thank you, counsel, for your efficient presentation of the case. We will be in recess. (Whereupon, the matter was concluded.)

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	State of Utah
4	County of Salt Lake
5	
6	I, Karen Murakami, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
7	for the State of Utah, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of proceedings was taken before me
9	at the time and place set forth herein and was taken
10	down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into
11	typewriting under my direction and supervision;
12	That the foregoing pages contain a true and
13	correct transcription of my said shorthand notes so
14	taken.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
16	this 16th day of <u>September</u> , 2014.
17	
18	
19	Karen Murakami
20	Karen Murakami, CSR, RPR
21	
22	
23	
24	