Case 2:08-cv-00788-CW-DBP Document 107-11 Filed 06/25/12 Page 1 of 1 U.S. Department of Justice



Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Ave, N.W. P.O. Box 883 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20530

Kathryn L. Wyer Trial Attorney Tel: (202) 616-8475 Fax: (202) 616-8470

January 25, 2012

VIA E-MAIL

Jesse C. Trentadue Suitter Axland, PLLC 8 E Broadway, Ste. 200 Salt Lake City UT 84111

Re: Trentadue v. CIA et al., No. 2:08-cv-788-CW



Dear Jesse,

I am writing to let you know that I forwarded your letter of January 23, 2012, to the FBI and asked them about the photographs and the Regency Towers videotapes that you referenced. I have been informed that, as indicated in the testimony of Special Agent Hersley that you attached to your letter, still photographs were made from videotape footage from a surveillance camera at the Regency Tower building in Oklahoma City. These photographs were produced through enhancement techniques on videotape footage that you have already received from the FBI in response to your FOIA request at issue in the above-referenced case. Since you are now in possession of that videotape footage, you are certainly free to apply whatever enhancement techniques you wish to that footage yourself. The FBI has once again confirmed that it has provided you with all videotapes responsive to your FOIA request that it located through its reasonable search. I therefore hope you will agree that the testimony referenced in your letter has no relevance to your FOIA request.

For the record, I also note that, contrary to your suggestion in your January 23 letter, you have never before, as far as I can recall, made any "complaints to [me]" that the videotapes you received from Regency Tower surveillance cameras had been edited. Rather, the only issues that you have ever raised in connection with the FBI's response to your FOIA request have related solely to the videotape from the surveillance camera in Trooper Hanger's patrol car and the FBI's failure to locate videotape footage from Murrah Federal Building surveillance cameras. In addition, as you know, no discovery has been authorized in this case, nor have you served any discovery requests on the FBI. Thus, your notion of filing a "motion to compel" would have no procedural basis.

Sincerely,

/s/

Kathryn L. Wyer